Quantitative estimates of species composition and abundance of fishes, and fish species/habitat associations in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands

Dr. Wesley Toller

Division of Fish and Wildlife
Department of Planning and Natural Resources
US Virgin Islands

F-7-17. Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Quantitative estimates of species composition and abundance of fishes, and fish species/habitat associations in St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands

Dr. Wesley Toller Fisheries Biologist II

Division of Fish and Wildlife Department of Planning and Natural Resources US Virgin Islands

November 2002

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

ABSTRACT

Reef fish communities around St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands were studied by visual census methods in order to identify fisheries habitat links, to document the role of local coral reefs as essential fish habitat, and to provide a baseline for monitoring studies. Seven reef sites were surveyed by stationary fish censuses during spring and fall of 2002. In general, the fish communities were similar across sites and survey periods: all reefs supported a diverse and abundant fish assemblage that was largely replicated between spring and fall surveys. Planktivorous fish (primarily labrids and pomacentrids) dominated the fauna numerically. Herbivorous fish (acanthurids and scarids) were common and abundant at all sites. Piscivores and other specialized feeders were least abundant. Serranids were common and relatively diverse, however most observed fish were either diminutive or small species (e.g. harlequin bass, hamlets, coney, and graysby). Lutjanids were of larger size, but less common, less abundant, and less diverse than serranids. Very few large reef-associated piscivorous species were seen, and the significance of this observation is discussed.

Some variation in fish assemblages was observed among reefs. Comparison of species richness and diversity (H') among reefs showed a suggestive positive relationship to vertical relief, but no obvious relation to percentage live coral cover or percentage turf alga cover. Planktivorous pomacentrids predominated at the two reef sites with the highest coral cover. Acanthurids and scarids, while both nearly ubiquitous, showed a reciprocal density relationship, with scarids predominating on well-developed reefs. Chaetodontids were more abundant and diverse near a submarine canyon (Salt River). Lutjanids were not observed at Lang Bank — an offshore platform with sparse coral cover.

These results imply that at least some members of reef fish communities respond to presently unidentified biotic or abiotic differences among the coral reef habitats of St. Croix, and that the source of this variation warrants additional investigation. Additional studies are recommended to monitor changes in these reef fish communities over time, to improve assessments of rare (but recreationally and commercially important) species, and to further elucidate critical fish-habitat links.

Final Report: Patterns of habitat utilization by reef fish on St. Croix F-7-17, Study 3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

			Table of Contents	Page No.
	Abs	tract		ii
	Tab	le of Cor	ntents	iii
	List	of Table	es s	iv
	List	of Figur	es	iv
	INT	RODUC	TION	1
		THODS		1
		-	of Study Sites	1
	Visi	ual Censu	us Methods	2
		SULTS		3
		CUSSIO	N	5
		nktivores		6
		bivores		6
			Carnivores and Specialized Invertebrate Feeders	7
	Rec	ommend	ations	8
	ACI	KNOWL	EDGEMENTS	8
	LIT	ERATUI	RE CITED	8
	API	PENDICI	ES	
1.			ution of observations on 85 fish species across seven St.	
		Croix r	reef sites:	19-23
	2.	A.	Fish Census Data from Lang Bank	24-25
		B.	Fish Census Data from Jacks & Isaacs Bay	26-28
		C.	Fish Census Data from East Wall, Salt River Canyon	29-31
		D.	Fish Census Data from West Wall, Salt River Canyon	32-34
		E.	Fish Census Data from Eagle Ray	35-38
		F.	Fish Census Data from Cane Bay	39-41
		G.	Fish Census Data from Sprat Hole	42-44

Final Report: Patterns of habitat utilization by reef fish on St. Croix F-7-17, Study 3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

	1. 2.	List of Tables Description of St. Croix reef sites for fish census study. Fish census effort	Page No. 15 15
	3.	Total number of fish and species observed during stationary fish censuses	16
	4.	The 25 most abundant fish species observed in this study.	17-18
		List of Figures	
1.		Map of St. Croix showing the seven reef sites where fish visual censuses were conducted.	11
2.		Summary of fish community structure at seven St. Croix reef sites.	11
	A.	Diversity (H')	12
	В.	Species Richness	12
	C.	Fish Abundance	12
3.		Fish abundance by family at seven St. Croix reef sites.	12
4.		Abundance of fishes representing 8 families at 7 St. Croix reef sites.	
	A.	Labridae (wrasses)	13
	В.	Pomacentridae (damsel fishes)	13
	C.	Acanthuridae (surgeonfishes)	13
	D.	Scaridae (parrotfishes)	13
	E.	Serranidae (groupers)	14
	F.	Lutjanidae (snappers)	14
	G.	Haemulidae (grunts)	14
	H.	Chaetodontidae (butterflyfishes) & Pomacanthidae (angelfish)	14

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

INTRODUCTION

Coral reefs of the U.S. Virgin Islands support a diverse assemblage of fishes. In addition to their ecological roles on reefs, these fish have economic importance to local recreational and commercial fisheries (Appledoorn et al. 1992).

Management of reef fish resources depends upon sound information about the status of local populations, and knowledge of critical links between habitat and fish life-history (i.e. essential fish habitats). Thus a first step is to simply establish the fine-scale patterns of fish distribution among habitats. A descriptive study, such as this one, can provide valuable information about habitat-species associations, which may ultimately lead to identification of critical links.

A second objective of management must be to monitor resources, so that the trajectory of populations through time can be evaluated. A thorough and descriptive baseline survey, whether it represents a natural or disturbed community (Jackson 1997), is the essential starting point for any monitoring program. On St. Croix, for example a proposed Marine Protected Area (MPA) will encompass the nearshore, eastern end of the island. Implementation of a broad "No-Take" zone may conceivably influence the structure of entire reef fish communities located in this area. Monitoring will be instrumental in gauging the effects of this proposed MPA on fish communities. Alternatively, on a scale of individual species, monitoring may allow managers to determine whether specific fisheries regulations, such as localized closures to protect spawning aggregations, are effective at restoring depleted stocks (sensu Tobias et al. 1988).

METHODS

Description of Study Sites

Seven reef sites around the island of St. Croix, U. S. Virgin Islands were selected for this study (see Figure 1): Lang Bank (LB), Jacks and Isaacs Bay (JI), East Wall (EW) of Salt River Canyon, West Wall (WW) of Salt River Canyon, Eagle Ray (ER) on Long Reef, Cane Bay (CB), and Sprat Hole (SH). Each of these reefs is surveyed annually by the Center for Marine and Environmental Studies, University of the Virgin Islands, as part of their coral reef monitoring program. Those studies provide much of the descriptive information on sessile reef biota, as presented in Table 1 (Nemeth and Herzlieb 2002). Detailed geological, biological, and spatial descriptions of these reef sites have been made previously, either in a general sense (Hubbard 1989a), in detail (at CB - Hubbard 1989b; at EW and WW - Hubbard 1989c) or at comparable nearby reefs (at JI Hubbard 1989d). Briefly, the seven reef sites all represent shallow water (< 15 m depth) hard bottom substrates situated on the insular shelf of St. Croix. Despite geographic proximity, there is considerable variation among reefs in terms of benthic flora and fauna, physical structure, exposure to prevailing currents and wave forces, and adjacent habitats (to name but a few of the variables). For example, the development of scleractinian

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

coral communities varies considerably among sites, from about 5% living coral cover at LB to >24% at SH (Nemeth and Herzlieb 2002). Some of the reefs have previously been classified (Hubbard 1989a-d) as barrier-type reefs (ER), fringing reefs (JI), shelf edge reefs (CB, SH), or submarine hardgrounds (LB). In the present study, only those attributes presented in Table 1 were considered in relation to reef fish community structure.

Visual Census Methods

The stationary fish census method (Bohnsack and Bannerot 1986) was used to assess reef fish community structure. Compared to other visual census methods (belt transects, timed random swim methods), the stationary count offers the advantage of estimating fish community structure as a function of density per unit area for each observed species, while enabling size (length) estimates of individuals. Generally, results from stationary point counts are comparable to results obtained from belt transects (e.g. Bortone et al. 1989), and the two methods differ primarily in duration (belt transects are faster) and area surveyed per replicate. A typical belt transect of 30 m x 2 m yields 60 m² whereas a 15 m wide stationary census (used in this study) yields 176 m² per replicate. However neither of these two methods is likely to enumerate all of the species present within a given area (i.e. to generate a species list) - that purpose is best served using replicated timed random diver swims (Kimmel 1985) or related methods (see Rogers et al 2001).

The census protocol is only slightly modified from Bohnsack and Bannerot (1986), and a brief description is provided here. A 15 m diameter census "cylinder" was defined by transect tape with the observer positioned in the center (7.5 m mark). Fish within this cylinder were censused as follows. During an initial 5-minute "listing" period, the names of all observed fish species were recorded onto pre-printed data forms. At the end of the listing period, the observer began enumerating all individuals of each species working from the bottom of the list upward and making one 360° sweep. Strict adherence was made to the 5-minute listing period. New species observed during the enumeration period (e.g. fish that swam into the cylinder after 5 minutes of listing) were not included in the stationary count data. Divers estimated fish total length to the nearest cm, using a measuring "T-bar" for underwater visual reference. Maximum, minimum, and average size was recorded for each species. Divers also recorded a brief description/sketch of habitat features within the census area. All fish were identified to species, however gobies (Gobiidae) and blennies (Blenniidae) were excluded from census counts. To insure that our fish census areas coincided with ongoing UVI coral surveys, but that replicates did not cover overlapping areas, census stations were marked with a small piece of rebar driven into nonliving reef substrate.

Surveys were conducted during two sampling periods: Spring (May - June, 2002) and Fall (September, 2002). For each reef site, six replicate censuses were made [this is a level of replication thought to adequately sample the species representing > 90% of all individuals at a site (Bohnsack and Bannerot 1986)] except for LB (Spring) where only four replicate censuses were conducted due to unfavorable weather conditions. Six replicate censuses surveyed a total area of 1,056 m² per reef site. A summary of census dates, number of replicates, and census duration is presented in Table 2.

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Data from this study were analyzed using Microsoft Excel software.

RESULTS

A total of 35,322 fish were sighted during the surveys (Table 3). More fish were observed in fall (16,859) than spring (18,463). The number of fish observed at each site ranged from 1,385 (JI, fall) to 5,443 (CB, fall). A total of 85 fish species representing 27 families were sighted (Table 3; Appendix 1). More species were seen during fall (77 species) than during spring (72 species; Table 3). The number of species observed at each site during spring or fall ranged from 24 (LB, spring) to 42 (WW, ER, CB; all fall) and the cumulative number of species observed at each reef site ranged from 32 (LB) to 52 (ER).

In general, fish assemblages present at each reef site were similar between spring and fall. Average fish abundance (Figure 2A) remained relatively constant at 6 of the reef sites. At CB, an increase in average abundance in fall was due to a large influx of small (~3 to 5 cm, TL) creole wrasses (*Clepticus parrae*; see Appendix 2F). Average species richness also remained relatively constant between spring and fall (Figure 2B). However the Shannon-Weiner diversity index (H'), computed as an average per census, showed greater variability between survey periods (Figure 2C; LB and ER), suggesting that community composition had changed in some cases. At LB, change was attributed to a large (30%) decrease in abundance of the numerically dominant species, the bluehead wrasse, *Thalassoma bifasciatum* and a 3-fold increase in bicolor damselfish (*Stegastes partitus*) abundance. At ER, the fall increase in diversity corresponded to a 40% decrease in abundance of the bluehead wrasse and the appearance of large schools of brown chromis, *Chromis multilineata* (an increase from 1.7 to 43.3 fish/census; Appendix 2E).

In contrast to temporal comparisons (i.e. spring vs. fall), comparisons across reef sites showed greater dissimilarity in fish assemblages (Figure 2). Average abundance (Figure 2A) was highest at CB and SH, sites with the highest coral cover, but also at LB where coral cover is sparse. Average species richness varied from ~15 to ~22 species observed/census (Figure 2B) and LB had the fewest species while ER, CB and SH had the most. Diversity indices showed a similar trend (Figure 2C).

The 25 most abundant species observed in this study are present in Table 4. The bluehead wrasse alone accounted for 38.6% of all fish sightings. The five most abundant species were the bluehead wrasse, creole wrasse, bicolor damselfish, brown chromis, and blue chromis (*Chromis cyanea*) - all primarily planktivorous species. Together; they accounted for 87.2% of all fish sightings. Despite comparably high abundance levels shared among these five species, their distribution and frequency of occurrence were different (Table 4). While the strongly reefassociated bluehead wrasse and bicolor damselfish were ubiquitous (present at each reef site) and common (frequency > 97% of censuses), the schooling creole wrasse and brown chromis were more patchy in distribution (Appendix 1) and less common (frequency < 45% of censuses).

A detailed account of species is beyond the scope of the present work (see Appendix 2A to G): Here, an analysis of reef fish community composition is presented based upon taxonomic

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

divisions (families) that delineate eight commercially, recreationally or ecologically important fish groups. These families are: Labridae (wrasses), Pomacentridae (damselfishes), Acanthuridae (surgeonfishes), Scaridae (parrotfishes), Serranidae (groupers), Lutjanidae (snappers), Haemulidae (grunts), Chaetodontidae (butterflyfishes) and Pomacanthidae (angelfishes). The latter two families were combined as a single group (butterflyfish and angelfish) for these analyses. Use of these taxonomic divisions is justified because: (1) each family loosely represents a trophic (ecological) group, and (2) the families collectively represented over 95% (usually >98%) of fish observed at each reef site (e.g. Table 4; Appendices 2A to G)

Fish abundance by family is summarized in Figure 3, where data were pooled from all seven reef sites. Average fish abundance was similar between spring and fall, however abundance varied considerably among families. Labrids and Pomacentrids were over 10 times more abundant than all other families (~ 100 fish observed/census). Acanthurids and scarids had similar abundance levels of ~10 fish/census. The abundance of serranids, lutjanids, haemulids, chaetodontids and pomacanthids was generally low (1 to 4 fish/census) and typically quite variable within and among sites (see below).

To examine fish community structure across the seven reef sites, the same eight fish families were utilized as a basis for analyses (Figure 4). Labrids were abundant at all sites (average 242 fish/census) but highly variable within and among sites (Figure 4a) [as noted above, an exceptionally high abundance of creole wrasses was recorded at CB in spring]. Pomacentrids were also abundant (average 148 fish/census), and showed the greatest variation among sites. The highest abundances were seen at CB and SH, where > 300 fish/census were recorded in spring and fall (Figure 4B), Blue chromis and brown chromis were the most abundant pomacentrids at CB and SH (Appendix 2F and G). Herbivorous fish were less abundant than planktivores. The abundance of acanthurids (average 10.7 fish/census) and scarids (average 9.6 fish/census) was also variable across the reef sites (Figures 4C and D). Acanthurids had their highest abundances at WW, EW, JI, and LB and were least abundant at CB and SH. Scarids had their highest abundance at SH.

Predatory fishes (piscivores, carnivores) are represented by the serranids, lutjanids and haemulids. As a family, serranids had low abundance (average 4 fish/census) that showed little variation among reef sites (Figure 4E). Serranid diversity was variable among reef sites. The coney, *Cephalopholis fulvus*, was uniformly distributed across reefs (Appendix 1) and relatively common (Appendix 2A-G), however the graysby; *C. cruentatus*, was more frequent in habitats of high topographic complexity (ER, CB, SH). The red hind, *Epinephelus guttatus*, was observed only infrequently at 4 sites (Appendices 1 and 2). Hamlets (genus *Hypoplectrus*) also showed highest diversity levels at SH, ER, EW and WW but not CB. In contrast to serranids, lutjanids showed greater variation to in abundance and distribution. The yellowtail snapper, *Ocyurus chrysurus*, and the mahogany snapper, *Lutjanus mahogoni*, were the most common and abundant snappers, however the schoolmaster, *L. apodus*, and the mutton snapper, *L. analis*, were also observed (Appendix 1). No lutjanids were recorded at LB. Haemulid abundance was relatively uniform (average 2.4 fish/census) across reef sites (Figure 4G).

The combined group of Chaetodontidae and Pomacanthidae represent long-lived reef residents with specialized feeding habits (e.g. feeding on cnidarians, sponges). Abundance and diversity

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

of this group varied among reef sites (Figure 4H) and was generally low (2.3 fish/census). Chaetodontids were most frequent and abundant at EW, WW and ER. It is interesting that EW and WW had the highest diversity of butterflyfish (4 and 3 species, respectively) whereas other reef sites had 2 or 1 species. Only the banded butterflyfish, *Chaetodon striatus*, was recorded from LB and JI, whereas only the foureye butterflyfish, *C. capistratus*, was recorded from CB and SH (Appendix 2).

DISCUSSION

The reefs investigated in this study supported diverse and abundant fish communities. This is not surprising - previous studies have documented well over 200 reef-associated species in St. Croix waters (Clavijo et al. 1980), and over 100 species may occur on a single patch reef (Gladfelter and Gladfelter 1978). For the following discussion, it is important to note that the stationary visual census method does not capture total reef fish diversity (i.e. Appendices 2A to G do not represent complete species lists). Rather, these are quantitative data on the most conspicuous and abundant components of each fish community. The absence of a given species from our observations should be considered "relative" absence. Nonetheless, the average number of species observed per census is a quantitative estimate of community species richness, and a useful measure for comparing reef sites.

The goal of the present study was to document, in an exploratory manner, species-habitat links of coral reef fish populations. This experimental design allows only a preliminary analysis of the relationship between individual fish species (or fish communities) and specific reef attributes (e.g. % live coral, vertical relief, benthic invertebrate community composition, wave exposure, prevailing currents, etc). When fish communities were compared across the seven reef sites, there was no obvious relation of average fish abundance to percentage live coral nor percentage turf algal cover (not shown). Planktivore abundance (blue chromis and brown chromis), on the other hand, may be positively related to percentage live coral cover (e.g. CB and SH; Figure 4B). Species richness showed a more suggestive positive relation to coral cover, although ER represents an important exception. At ER, coral cover is relatively low, but vertical relief is high (a spur and groove area formed of *Montastraea* skeletons). A relatively high number of fish species was observed at ER. This suggests that the richness of St. Croix reef fish communities may be influenced more by three-dimensional architecture (topographic complexity) of the reef than by the presence of living (versus dead) corals. This idea is not new (Roberts and Ormond 1987), and it has been suggested by results from long-term studies of fish populations at Buck Island, St. Croix (Gladfelter et al. 1992), where hurricane damage and disease permanently reduced live coral cover (Acropora palmata), but left habitat structural complexity relatively intact. Despite the loss of living coral, resident fish populations recovered to pre-hurricane densities

Nemeth and Herzlieb (2002) suggested that reef sites along St. Croix's northern coast harbor a greater abundance of reef fish than the other reef sites. Our results did not corroborate this finding (see Figure 2 and Figure 4). Neither that study nor this one included sufficient replication of reef sites, adequate spatial coverage of insular reefs, nor control over confounding variables (e.g. topographic complexity) to reach a definitive conclusion. Nonetheless, previous studies indicate that recruitment patterns are highest on St. Croix's northwestern and southeastern shores (Caselle and Warner 1996, and Swearer 2002). Recruitment studies, in

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

conjunction with community assessments, may clarify a postulated relationship between larval supply and resident reef fish abundance.

Planktivores:

The reef fish communities were numerically dominated by labrids (bluehead wrasse, creole wrasse) and planktivorous pomacentrids (bicolor damselfish, blue chromis, and brown chromis), which were collectively 10 to 100-fold more abundant than all other species. The bluehead wrasse, perhaps the most abundant of all West Indian reef fishes, is a facultative planktivore, and the remaining species feed primarily on zooplankton (Randall 1968).

Large populations of planktivores form a major trophic link between coral reef habitats and open—water communities (e.g. Hobson 1991). Undoubtedly, a large number of these fish fall prey to resident and reef associated predatory fishes. Between spring and fall, bluehead wrasse abundance decreased by $\sim 20\%$, which is suggestive of heavy predation. However we found no relation between planktivore abundance and piscivore abundance (compare Figure 4A and B with 4E and F). Some authors have argued that, rather than predation, the primary trophic link between planktivores and coral reefs is through production of feces, which is then consumed by a wide variety of reef fish and invertebrates (Robertson 1982). Nonetheless, the role of planktivores as forage for commercially and recreationally important species should be investigated further.

Herbivores:

Herbivorous fish were common, moderately abundant, and relatively diverse on all seven reefs. For acanthurids and scarids, average abundance was similar (9.6 fish/176 m² census and 10.7 fish /176 m² census, respectively). In 2001, Nemeth and Herzlieb (2002) censused fish from the same sites using belt transects. When normalized to 100m^2 , the density of acanthurids observed in our study was slightly lower than in theirs (6.0 vs 9.0 fish/100 m²) and the density of scarids observed in this study was substantially lower (5.5 vs 13 fish/100m²). The apparent decline in parrotfish populations is unexplained. Methodological differences between the studies may account for this discrepancy. Alternatively, scarid populations may be in decline due to overfishing – parrotfishes are actively sought by a local gillnet fishery. Given the important trophic role that scarids play in maintaining scleractinian coral growth and diversity (e.g. Pennings 1997), local parrotfish populations should be monitored carefully in the future.

In this study, parrotfishes (especially the redband parrotfish, *Sparisoma aurofrenatum*, and the princess parrotfish, *Scarus taeniopterus*) and surgeonfishes (ocean surgeonfish, *Acanthurus bahianus*, and blue tang, *A. coeruleus*) were nearly ubiquitous among the reef sites. Analysis of their abundance patterns (Figure 4C and D) suggests that their densities were reciprocal. Acanthurids were more abundant on low relief, low coral cover sites (LB, JI, EW, WW) while Scarids were more abundant on reefs with greater topographic complexity (ER, CB, SH). This pattern may arise from differences in feeding mode: acanthurids tend to browse over soft bottoms while scarids are scrapers of hardbottom substrates (e.g. Choat 1991).

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Piscivores, Carnivores and Specialized Invertebrate Feeders:

A diversity of predatory fishes was recorded during censuses. The observed species varied in their degree of reef association. For example carangids (bar jack, *Carynx ruber*, and blue runner, *C. crysos*) and scombrids (cero mackerel, *Scomberomorus regalis*) were infrequently observed, in part due to their high mobility and their loose association with reefs. On the other hand, the coney appears to represent a strongly reef-associated resident species that displayed no habitat preference. This species was the most abundant serranid, occurring with moderate to high frequency at all studied reef sites. The coney is important to both the recreational and commercial fishery of the USVI (Appledoorn et al. 1992; Bolden 1994). The graysby, another frequently observed serranid, showed habitat preference for reefs with a high degree of topographic complexity.

As adults, lutjanids are largely residents of reef habitats. However, the juveniles of many lutjanid species, as well as haemulids and chaetodontids, depend upon "nursery habitats" during their early post-settlement life. On St. Croix for example, Adams and Tobias (1993) documented the importance of an estuarine environment (Salt River) for juvenile schoolmasters (*L. apodus*), french grunts (*Haemulon flavolineatum*), and foureye butterflyfish (*C. capistratus*). Likewise, St. Croix embayments (comprised of patch reef, sand and seagrass habitats) appear to function as important nursery habitats for French grunts and yellowtail snapper (Mateo and Tobias 2001). In our study, adults of these species (but not juveniles) were commonly observed on reefs. For example, adult French grunts were among the most frequently observed fish (~80% of all censuses), but no juveniles were observed these reef sites. For such species, these results serve to underscore the connectivity between adult populations on reefs and juvenile populations residing in nursery habitats.

Nonetheless, it is clear that many of the long-lived reef species were relatively rare. Commercially and recreationally important species such as the red hind (*E. guttatus*) or mutton snapper (*L. analis*) were observed only infrequently (6.1% and 3.7% of censuses, respectively). Some of the larger non-piscivorous reef species such as the french angelfish (*Pomacanthus paru*), queen angelfish (*Holacanthus ciliaris*), or puddingwife (*Halichoeres radiatus*) were also rare in our studies. Formerly, all of the above species were considered "common" on St. Croix reefs (Clavijo et al. 1980). Overfishing is the likely explanation for the relative rarity of these species. Establishment of a marine protected area should augment populations of long-lived fish species with high susceptibility to fishing pressures (Bohnsack 1993), such as those discussed above.

Crosby and Reese (1996) have suggested that butterflyfish density is an indicator of reef health. In our study, butterflyfish reached their greatest abundance and diversity at Salt River canyon. This distribution pattern was unique among fishes in our study. Localized abundance at Salt River Canyon may be due to reduced fishing pressure in the immediate vicinity – chaetodontids are readily caught in fish traps (DFW, unpubl. SEAMAP study) or to the proximity of nursery habitat (Salt River Estuary; see Adams and Tobias 1993), which may augment local butterflyfish populations. Alternatively, the chaetodontids species may share a habitat preference for some unidentified feature of the submarine canyon itself.

Recommendations:

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Continued monitoring of these seven reef sites should be conducted semi-annually. One or two additional reef sites should be added to include reef fish communities along the southern insular shelf of St. Croix. The visual census method used here is robust and reproducible and should therefore be used in future monitoring efforts. However, a second assessment method, such as the tuned random diver swim (TRDS) method, should also be included in the protocol. Sufficient TRDS replicates (performed on an annual or biannual basis) would establish a species lists for each reef site and enable more powerful inferences regarding rare species.

Population assessments of large, resident reef fishes were compromised by the rarity of these fish. This is particularly troublesome because many are commercially and recreationally important species. However, for species such as the Nassau grouper (*Epinephelus striata*), goliath grouper (*E. itajara*), tiger grouper (*Mycteroperca tigris*), black grouper (*M. bonaci*), yellowfin grouper (*M. venenosa*), mutton snapper (*Lutjanus analis*), and cubera snapper (*L. cyanopterus*), populations are probably too low to adequately assess them by any of the aforementioned visual census methods. Instead, future monitoring efforts should be directed towards censusing these species during their annual spawning aggregations (e.g. Colin 1996). Aggregation sites are already known for at least two species on St. Croix (*L. analis* and *E. guttatus*) and these could be monitored using diver surveys or ROV.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

William Tobias provided technical guidance and assisted in many of the field surveys. Hector Rivera and Willy Ventura were boat operators. A special thanks goes to Dianne "Dee" Osinski and Robert Daniel Stone for their participation in (and enthusiasm for) the fish counts.

LITERATURE CITED

- Adams, A.J., and W.J. Tobias. 1993. Red mangrove prop-root habitat as a finfish nursery area. A case study of Salt River, St. Croix, U.S.V.I. *Proc.* Gulf *Carib. Fish. Inst.* 46:22-47
- Appledoorn, R., J. Beets, J. Bohnsack, S. Bolden, D. Matos, S. Meyers, A. Rosario, Y. Sadovy, and W. Tobias. 1992. Shallow water reef fish stock assessment for the U.S. Caribbean. NOAA Tech. Memo. Niv S:SEFSC-304, 70 pp.
- Bohnsack, J.A. 1993. Marine reserves: they enhance fisheries, reduce conflicts, and protect resources. Oceanus 36(3): 63-71
- Bohnsack, J.A., and S.P. Bannerot. 1986. A stationary visual census technique for quantitatively assessing community structure of coral reef fishes. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 41, U.S. Dept of Commerce, 15 pp.
- Bortone, S.A., J.J. Kimmel, and C.M. Bundrick. 1989. A comparison of three methods for visually assessing reef fish communities: time and area compensated. Northeast Gulf Sci. 10(2):85-96.

- Caselle, J.E., and R.R. Warner. 1996. Variability in recruitment of coral reef fishes: the importance of habitat at two spatial scales. Ecology 77: 2488-2504.
- Choat, J.H. 1991. The biology of herbivorous fishes on coral reefs. Pp. 120-155 *In*: P. F. Sale (ed.)The Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 54 pp
- Clavijo, I.E., J.A. Yntema, and J.C. Ogden. 1980. An annotated checklist of the fishes of St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands. West Indies Laboratory, St. Croix, USVI, 49 pp.
- Colin, P.L. 1996. Longevity of some coral reef fish spawning aggregations. *Copeia* 1996(1): 189-2.
- Crosby, M.P., and E.S. Reese. 1996. A manual for monitoring coral reefs with indicator species: butterflyfishes as indicators of change on Indo Pacific reefs. Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, NOAA, Silver Springs MD, 45 pp.
- Gladfelter, W.B., and E.H. Gladfelter. 1978. Fish community structure as a function of habitat structure on West Indian patch reefs. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* (suppl. 1):65-84.
- Gladfelter, E.H., J.C. Bythell, and Z.M. Hillis. 1992. Changes in fish assemblage structure at Buck Island, St. Croix, U. S. Virgin Islands from 1980-1990: an indication of predictability in coral reef fish assemblages based on known habitat changes? Pages 14-29 In: Ecological Studies of Buck Island Reef National Monument, St. Croix, U. S. Virgin Islands. Part II. Report prepared for U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service.
- Hobson, E.S. 1991. Trophic relationships of fishes specialized to feed on zooplankters above coral reefs. Pp. 69-95 *In*: P.F. Sale (ed.) The Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 754 pp.
- Hubbard, D. 1989a. Modern carbonate environments of St. Croix and the Caribbean: A general overview. Pages 85-94 *In*: D. Hubbard (ed.) Terrestrial and marine geology of St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Sp. Publ. No. 8, West Indies Laboratory, St. Croix, USVI, 213 pp.
- Hubbard D. 1989b. The shelf-edge reefs of Davis and Cane Bays, Northwestern St. Croix,U.S.V.I. Pages 167-179 *In*: D. Hubbard (ed.) Terrestrial and marine geology of St. Croix,U. S. Virgin Islands. Sp. Publ. No. 8, West Indies Laboratory, St. Croix, USVI, 213 pp.
- Hubbard, D. 1989c. Depositional environments of Salt River Estuary and submarine canyon, St. Croix, U. S:V.I. Pages 181-196 *In*: D. Hubbard (ed.) Terrestrial and marine geology of St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Sp, Publ. No. 8, West Indies Laboratory, St. Croix, USVI, 213 pp.
- Hubbard, D. 1989d. Depositional environments of the Turner Hole reef complex. Pages 155-160 *In*: D. Hubbard (ed.) Terrestrial and marine geology of St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Sp. Publ. No. 8, West Indies Laboratory, St. Croix, USVI, 213 pp.
- Jackson, J.B.C. 1997. Reefs since Columbus. Coral Reefs 16:S23-S32.

F-7-17, Study 3


Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

- Kimmel, J.J. 1985. A new species-time method for visual assessment of fishes and its comparison with established methods. *Env. Biol. Fishes* 12:23-32.
- Mateo, I. and W.J. Tobias. 2001. The role of nearshore habitats as nursery grounds for juvenile fishes on the northeast coast of St. Croix. *Proc. Gulf Carib. Fish. Inst.* 52:512-530.
- Nemeth, R.S., and S. Herzlieb. 2002. Video monitoring assessment of coral reefs in proposed marine parks St. Croix, United States Virgin Islands. Center for Marine and Environmental Studies, University of the Virgin Islands, St. Thomas, USVI. *In*: U.S. Virgin Islands Coral Reef Monitoring Project, Year 1 Final Report USVI Department of Planning and Natural Resources, Division of Coastal Zone Management.
- Pennings, S.C. 1997. Indirect interactions on coral reefs. Pp. 249-272 *In*: C. Birkeland (ed) Life and Death of Coral Reefs. Chapman & Hall, New York, 536 pp.
- Randall, J.E. 1968. Caribbean Reef Fishes. T.F.H. Publications, Inc., Jersey City, NJ, 318 pp.
- Roberts, C.M. and R.F.G. Ormond. 1987. Habitat complexity and coral reef fish diversity and abundance on Red Sea fringing reefs. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 41:1-8.
- Robertson, D.R. 1982. Fish feces as food on a Pacific coral reef *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 7:253-265.
- Rogers, C.S., G. Garrison, R. Grober, Z. Hillis, and M.A. Franke. 2001. Coral Reef Monitoring Manual for the Caribbean and Western Atlantic. 2nd Ed., Virgin Islands National Park.
- Swearer, S.E. 2000. Self-recruitment in coral reef fish populations. Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Santa Barbara, 151 pp.
- Tobias, W., E. Telemaque, and M. Davis. 1988. Buck Island fish and shellfish populations. Biosphere Reserve Research Report No. 26, Virgin Islands National Park, 27 pp.

F-7-17, Study 3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Figure 1. Map of St. Croix showing the seven reef sites where fish visual censuses were conducted Abbreviations are as follows: Lang Bank (LB), Jacks and Isaacs Bay (JI), East Wall (EW) of Salt River Canyon, West Wall (WW) of Salt River Canyon, Eagle Ray (ER) on Long Reef, Cane Bay (CB), and Sprat Hole (SH).



F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Figure 2. Summary of fish community structure at seven St. Croix reef sites.




Figure 3. Fish abundance by family at seven St. Croix reef sites. Error bars show range of average abundance values across sites.







Figure 4. Abundance of fishes representing 8 families at 7 St. Croix reef sites. Families are: A. Labridae (wrasses), B. Pomacentridae (damselfishes), C. Acanthuridae (surgeonfishes), D. Scaridae (parrotfishes), E. Serranidae (groupers), F. Lutjanidae (snappers), G. Haemulidae (grunts), and H. Chaetodontidae (butterfly fishes) and Pomacanthidae (angelfishes). Error bars represent standard deviation.

D.







C.







Figure 4 (continued). Abundance of fishes representing 8 families at 7 St. Croix reef sites. Families are: A. Labridae (wrasses), B. Pomacentridae (damselfishes), C. Acanthuridae (surgeonfishes), D. Scaridae (parrotfishes), E. Serranidae (groupers), F. Lutjanidae (snappers), G. Haemulidae (grunts), and H. Chaetodontidae (butterflyfishes) and Pomacanthidae (angelfishes). Error bars represent standard deviation.






G.



H.



F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Table 1. Description of St. Croix reef sites for fish census study.

Reef Site	Lang Bank	Jack's & Isaac's	East Wall (Salt River)	West Wall (Salt River)	Eagle Ray (Long Reef)	Cane Bay	Sprat Hole
Abbreviation	LB	Л	EW	ww	ER	CB	SH
Location	East	Southeast	North	North	North	Northwest	West
Latitude	N 17° 44.448'	N 17° 44.586'	N 17° 47.221'	N 17° 47.116'	N 17° 45.688′	N 17° 46.433'	N 17° 44.038'
Longitude	W 64° 32.186'	W 64° 34.310'	W 64° 45.445'	W 64° 45.564'	W 64° 41.929'	W 64° 48.810'	W 64° 53.722'
Min. Depth	44	36	32	24	22	24	30
Max. Depth	48	49	45	27	35	36	35
Avg. Depth	45.8	41.7	36.8	25.3	28.0	28.8	32.3
Reef Description ¹	Submarine Hardgounds	Fringing Reef	Sloping Cobble, Canyon Wall	Steep Canyon Wall	Barrier Reef	Shelf- Edge Reef	Shelf- Edge Reef
Vertical Relief	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Low & High	High	High	High
% Cover Live Coral ³	~ 5%	~ 9%	~ 12 %	~11%	~ 7%	~ 23%	~ 25%
% Cover Turf Algae ³	~ 80%	~ 50%	~ 72%	~ 78%	~ 70%	~ 60%	~ 60%

^{*}notes:

- 1. After Hubbard (1989a-d)
- 2. From diver observations (this study)
- 3. From Nemeth and Herzlieb (2002)

Table 2. Fish census effort

	1			·······			
	LB	Л	EW	WW	ER	CB	SH
Spring 2002			23, 28-	22, 23-	1 1 1		
Date of Censuses	29-May	11-Jun	May	May	3May	15-May	16-May
No. of censuses	4	6	6	6	6	6	6
Total Census Time (min)	91	195	187	190	233	242	255
Avg Time / Census (min)	22.8	32.5	31.2	31.7	38.8	40.3	42.5
Fall 2002					4 & 6-		
Date of Censuses	3-Sept	4-Sept	6-Sept	12-Sept	Sept	10-Sept	11-Sept
No. of censuses	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Total Census Time (min)	197	179	225	254	309	294	285
Avg Time / Census (min)	32.8	29.8	37.5	42.3	51.5	49.0	47.5

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Table 3. Total number of fish and species observed during stationary fish censuses*1

Reef Site	Survey	LB	Л	EW	ww	ER	СВ	SH	Total
No. of Fish	Spring '02	1,659*2	1,921	1,755	1,922	2,156	3,120	4,326	16,859
Observed	Fall '02	2,491	1,385	1,456	2,221	2,148	5,443	3,319	18,463
	Total	4,150	3,306	3,211	4,143	4,304	8,563	7,645	35,322
No. of Species	Spring '02	24	39	37	34	40	32	40	72
Observed	Fall '02	28	37	38	42	42	42	40	77
	Cumulative	32	47	47	48	52	44	49	85

Notes:

2. Total number of fish from 4 replicate censuses.

^{1.} Values reported for Numbers of species are not additive because there is substantial overlap in species compositions among different reef sites and between sampling periods.

F-7-17, Study3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Table 4. The 25 most abundant fish species observed in this study.

		rable 4. The 25 m	ost addingain		Ooser ved in	This study.	72-11-100		1	
		¥		Spring '02	·		Fall '02		 	I
			Total No.	Avg No.		Total No.	Avg No.		Total	% of
		tion of the second second	of Fish	Fish per	% Freq	of Fish	Fish per	% Freq	Total No.	% OI All
Common Name	Species	Family	Observed	Census	(n=40)	Observed	Census	(n=42)	of Fish	Obs*
bluehead wrasse	Thalassoma bifasciatum	Labridae	7,790	194.8	97.5	5,830	138.8	97.6	13,620	38.6
creole wrasse bicolor	Clepticus parrae	Labridae	2,010	50.3	45	3,635	86.5	38.1	5,645	16.0
damselfish	Stegastes partitus	Pomacentridae	1,466	36.7	100	2,485	59.2	100.0	3,951	11.2
brown chromis	Chromis multilineata	Pomacentridae	1,661	41.5	40	2,172	51.7	40.5	3,833	10.9
blue chromis	Chromis cyanea	Pomacentridae	1,864	46.6	75	1,880	44.8	76.2	3,744	10,6
surgeonfish yellowhead	Acanthurus bahianus	Acanthuridae	367	9.2	97.5	287	6.8	97.6	654	1.9
wrasse	Halichoeres garnoti	Labridae	162	4.1	72.5	149	3.5	81.0	311	0.9
black durgon redband	Melichthys niger	Balistidae	94	2.4	50	201	4.8	52.4	295	0.8
parrotfish	Sparisoma aurofrenatum	Scaridae	144	3.6	90	139	3.3	83.3	283	0.8
princess parrotfish	Scarus taeniopterus Stegastes adustus (S.	Scaridae	138	3.5	87.5	138	3.3	85.7	276	0.8
dusky damselfish threespot	fuscus)	Pomacentridae	150	3.8	60	100	2.4	50.0	250	0.7
damselfish	Stegastes planifrons	Pomacentridae	111	2.8	22.5	94	2.2	21.4	205	0,6
coney	Cephalopholis fulvus	Serranidae	97	2.4	72.5	108	2.6	81.0	205	0.6
sergeant major	Abudefduf saxatilis	Pomacentridae	47	1.2	32.5	157	3.7	42.9	204	0.6
blue tang	Acanthurus coeruleus	Acanthuridae	89	2.2	87.5	106	2.5	90.5	195	0.6
french grunt	Haemulon flavolineatum	Haemulidae	93	2.3	82.5	85	2.0	78.6	178	0.5
yellow goatfish foureye	Mulloidichthys martinicus	Mullidae	14	0.4	17.5	158	3.8	23.8	172	0.5
butterflyfish stoplight	Chaetodon capistratus	Chaetodontidae	59	1.5	57.5	61	1.5	54.8	120	0.3
parrotfish yellowtail	Sparisoma viride Microspathodon	Scaridae	36	0.9	55	62	1.5	64.3	98	0.3
damselfish	chrysurus	Pomacentridae	46	1.2	60	48	1.1	52.4	94	0.3

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Table 4 (continued). The 25 most abundant fish species observed in this study.

	the state of the s				<u></u>					
				Spring '02			Fall '02			
			Total No.	Avg No.	то при	Total No.	Avg No.			
		4	of Fish	Fish per	% Freq	of Fish	Fish per	% Freq	Total No.	% of All
Common Name	Species	Family	Observed	Census	(n=40)	Observed	Census	(n=42)	of Fish	Obs*
	Scarus iserti (S.								0	005
striped parrotfish blackbar	croicensis)	Scaridae	19	0.5	22.5	73	1.7	40.5	92	0.3
soldierfish yellowtail	Myripristis jacobus	Holocentridae	45	1.1	35	43	1.0	33.3	88	0.2
snapper mahogany	Ocyurus chrysurus	Lutjanidae	49	1.2	32.5	23	0.5	16.7	72	0.2
snapper	Lutjanus mahogoni	Lutjanidae	23	0.6	12.5	27	0.6	23.8	50	0.1
fairy basslet	Gramma loreto	Grammatidae	11	0.3	10	37	0.9	16.7	48	0.1
		Subtotal =	16,585		Subtotal =	18,098		Subtotal =	34,683	98.2

^{*} percent of all observations (n = 35,322)

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

	Appendix 1. Distrit										75	<u> </u>	``	Caract	Tala
		Lang I		Jacl		East \		West '		Eagle		Cane I		Sprat I	
Common Name Species	Family	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall								
ocean Acanthurus					٠.					_					
surgeonfish bahianus	Acanthuridae	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	l	1	1
Acanthurus		ŀ											1		
doctorfish chirurgus	Acanthuridae	1	1	1	1	1	b.		1		1	1	ı		
Acanthurus										•	•		1		1
blue tang coeruleus	Acanthuridae	1	-1	1	.1	1.	I.	1	1	1	1	1		1	1
Aulostomus						1	1				1	1		1	1
trumpetfish maculatus	Aulostomidae					1	1				1	1			1
queen triggerfish Balistes vetul		1	. 1										3		
black durgon Melichthys m		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		
peacock flounder Bothus lunati									1						
Caranx cryso															
blue runner fusus)	Carangidae			1											1
bar jack Caranx ruber	Carangidae			1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1
longsnout Chaetodon									4.5						
butterflyfish aculeatus	Chaetodontidae					1	i		.i						
foureye Chaetodon							(i		- 1					1	
butterflyfish capistratus	Chaetodontidae					1	Ţ		1			1	1	1	I
spotfin Chaetodon	01 1 11					1	1								
butterflyfish ocellatus	Chaetodontidae					1	1,								
banded butterflyfish Chaetodon st	riatus Chaetodontidae	1	7	1	1	1	1				1 .				
1 1 1:00 10 m20 m20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	rianas Chaetodoniluae	1	ı	1	1	*		,			r				
yellowfin mojarra Gerres cinere	eus Gerreidae							1	1					1 1	
									. ,.			1	. 1	1	1.1
fairy basslet Gramma lore Haemulon	10 Grammandaç											1	•		
tomtate aurolineatum	Haemulidae			1	1										
Haemulon	Tachundae		·.	1											
caesar grunt carbonarium	Haemulidae		1	1	1						1		1	1	
Haemulon	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF						1				-		-		
smallmouth grunt chrysargyreu	m Haemulidae			1									1		
Haemulon								,							
french grunt flavolineatum	1 Haemulidae	1	1	1 . 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	. 1
white grunt Haemulon pl						1	. 1		1	1			1		

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

	пррола	ix i (continued).	Lang I		Jack		East		West		Eagle		Cane	Dov	Sprat	Liole
Common Name	Species	Family	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	- 	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall
bluestriped grunt	Haemulon sciurus	Haemulidae	1 3 1 1	1.011	Spring	1 411	Spinig 1	ran	Oping .	1 411	Spring		T	1	Spring	1 (1)
omesurped grunt	Holocentrus	Hacmandae				,1										
squirrelfish	adcensionis	Holocentridae		1		1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	
longspine																
squirrelfish	Holocentrus rufus	Holocentridae	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1		1		
blackbar			1.00											1.77.		
soldierfish	Myripristis jacobus	Holocentridae			1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
longjaw	Neoniphon			100												
squirrelfish	mariamis	Holocentridae												1		
spanish hogfish	Bodianus rufus	Labridae		1	1	1	1				1	1	1	1	1	1
creole wrasse	Clepticus parrae Halichoeres	Labridae				1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
slippery dick	bivittatus	Labridae	1						1							
yellowcheek	Halichoeres				1, ,											
wrasse	cyanocephalus	Labridae		1								1				
yellowhead	Halichoeres			1,											1	
wrasse	garnoti Halichoeres	Labridae	1	l	1	1	1	1	1			1.	1	I.	1	ı
clown wrasse	maculipinna Halichoeres	Labridae	1	l		1										1
puddingwife	radiatus	Labridae			1											
	Thalassoma					1										
bluehead wrasse	bifasciatum	Labridae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	⁵ . 1
mutton snapper	Lutjamıs analis	Lutjanidae					1					1				1
schoolmaster	Lutjanus apodus	Lutjanidae			1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1
mahogany	Tutions a makaaani	Tartionidos				1								1		1
snapper yellowtail	Lutjanus mahogoni	Lutjanidae			1	1								ı		¥ .
snapper	Ocyurus Chrysurus Malacanthus	Lutjanidae					1	1	1	. 1	1	1				
sand tilefish	plumieri	Malacanthidae			1	1									1	
scrawled filefish	Aluterus scripta	Monacanthidae			1					1						
orangespotted	Cantherhines									-						
filefish	pullus	Monacanthidae		1			1	1		1			1.	1		

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

	Append	ix 1 (continued).		~~~~~~~					West		Eagle		Cane	Bar.	Sprat	Hole
		***	Lang I		Jack		East		Spring	Fall	Spring		Spring	Fall	Spring	
Common Name	Species	Family	Spring	Fall	Spring	ran	Spring	ran	Spring	ran	Spring	ran	Spring	1.411	Spring	7 (11)
yellow goatfish	Mulloidichthys martinicus Psuedupeneus	Mullidae				1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
spotted goatfish	maculatus Gymnothorax	Mullidae					1			1	1	1				
spotted moray honeycomb	moringa Acanthostracion	Muraenidae														1
cowfish	ploygonia Acanthostracion	Ostraciidae			1	1		1			1					
scrawled cowfish	quadricornis Lactophrys	Ostraciidae	I					*				•				
spotted trunkfish	bicandalis Lactophrys	Ostraciidae						. 1						1		1
smooth trunkfish	triqueter Holacanthus	Ostraciidae				1		1								I
queen angelfish	ciliaris Holacanthus	Pomacanthidae						•								1
rock beauty	tricolor Pomacanthus	Pomacanthidae	1	ì	1. 1.	i.			1	. 1		1			1	
French angelfish	paru Abudefduf	Pomacanthidae					1					•		•		
sergeant major blue chromis	saxatilis Chromis cyanea	Pomacentridae Pomacentridae	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
brown chromis yellowtail	Chromis multilineata Microspathodon	Pomacentridae			1	. 1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
damselfish	chrysurus Stegastes	Pomacentridae		1	1	1	1	1	1 -	1	1	1	1	1	1	
dusky damselfish	adustus (S. fuscus)	Pomacentridae		•	1	1			1	1	1	:- 1	1	1 -	1	. 1
beaugregory	Stegastes leucostictus Stegastes	Pomacentridae			1				1		1	1		1		
bicolor damselfish	partitus	Pomacentridae	1	1	1	1	1	1.	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1

F-7-17, Study3 Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix I (continued)			Lang E		Jacl		East V		West		Eagle		Cane	Rav	Sprat	Hole
Common Name	Species	Family	Spring		Spring		Spring		Spring	Fall	Spring		Spring	Fall	Spring	
	Stegastes		1						F		~1~~~6.		~ r6		~	
	planifrons	Pomacentridae					4 M				*.		1	1	1	1
	Stegastes															
cocoa damselfish	variabilis	Pomacentridae									1	1			1	
	Scarus iserti (S.															
striped parrotfish	croicensis)	Scaridae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1
	Scarus															
	taeniopterus	Scaridae	1	1	. 1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 .
queen parrotfish	Scarus vetula	Scaridae			1				1	1	1		1		1	1
greenblotch	Sparisoma															
parrotfish	atomarium	Scaridae						1								
	Sparisoma															
redband parrotfish	aurofrenatum	Scaridae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
redtail parrotfish	Sparisoma chrysopterum	Scaridae		1	1	1				1						
yellowtail	Sparisoma	Scaridae			1	1				1	l					
	rubripinne	Scaridae								1						
stoplight parrotfish	Sparisoma viride	Scaridae	1	1	T	1	7	1	1	1 1	1	1	4	1	1	1
stopnight partottish	Pareques	Scaridae	1	. 1	L		T	1	1	L	l l	Ţ	1	Ł	1	I
highhat	acuminatus	Sciaenidae			100	1										
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Scomberomorus	Schondie				*							· ·			
cero mackerel	regalis	Scombridae			1.0					1			1 2			
spotted	Scorpaena					•										
scorpionfish	plumieri	Scorpaenidae			1						*					
	Cephalopholis															
graysby	cruentatus	Serranidae					1	1			1	1	- 1	1	1	1
	Cephalopholis															
coney	fulvus	Serranidae	1 -	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	- 1	1	1	1	. 1
	Epinephelus															
red hind	guttatus	Serranidae		1	1		1	1				.1				
11	Hypoplectrus															
yellowtail hamlet	chlorurus	Serranidae							1		1					1
abr. homelat	Hypoplectrus	α			·										ľ	
shy hamlet	guttavarius	Serranidae					1.			1					1	
black hamlet	Hypoplectrus	Comonidos		100												
Olack Ballilet	nigricans	Serranidae	L		L		<u> </u>		<u></u>		11			1		1

F-7-17, Study3 Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

			Lang I	Bank	Jack	S	East W	/all	West \	Wall	Eagle	Ray	Cane]	Bay	Sprat	Hole
Common Name	Species	Family	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall
	Hypoplectrus															
barred hamlet	puella	Serranidae								1					1	1
	Hypoplectrus													10.0		
butter hamlet	unicolor	Serranidae					1		10		1			*.		
	Rypticus			± 1			•									
greater soapfish	saponaceus	Serranidae						1	14							
	Serranus															
tobaccofish	tabacarius	Serranidae						1			1					
harlequin bass	Serranus tigrinus	Serranidae	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1
	Calamus					1, 1,						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
jolthead porgy	bajonado	Sparidae									1					ri North
	Synodus															
sand diver	intermedius	Synodontidae							1						and the same	- 1
	Canthigaster															
sharpnose puffer	rostrata	Tetraodontidae			1			. 1	1	w 1	1	1	1	1	1	1
porcupinefish	Diodon hystrix	Tetraodontidae														1
Grand Total =	85 species	Subtotal =	24	28	39	37	37	38	34	42	40	42	32	42	40	40

Final Report: Patterns of habitat utilization by reef fish on St. Croix F-7-17, Study3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2A. Fish census data from Lang Bank

			1.100	711(11)(222		ig '02 Cei		ans Da	Fall '02 Census								
			%	T	Spin	15 02 CCI	1343			%	1 till UZ COllistis						
			Freq	N	lo. of Fi	sh		Size		Freq	יו	No. of Fi	sh		Size		
Common			2.704							1104		10. 01.1.	J14		012.0		
Name	Species	Family	(n=4)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	
ocean	Acanthurus																
surgeonfish	bahianus	Acanthuridae	100.0	30	7.5	2.6	14.5	11	17	100.0	64	10.7	2.8	14.8	10	20	
	Acanthurus																
doctorfish	chirurgus	Acanthuridae	50.0	2	0.5	0.6	18.0	17	19	33.3	. 7	1.2	2.0	20.5	17	23	
	Acanthurus	$\Delta x = -\frac{1}{2}$															
blue tang	coeruleus	Acanthuridae	100.0	11	2.8	1.7	16.3	14	19	100.0	24	4.0	1.5	14.7	10	19	
queen							7										
triggerfish	Balistes vetula	Balistidae	25.0	1	.0.3	0.5	27.0	27	27	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	20.0	20	20	
black durgon	Melichthys niger	Balistidae	100.0	15	3.8	4.2	22.0	20	26	83,3	58	9.7	11.1	21.0	15	30	
banded	Chaetodon			1													
butterflyfish	striatus	Chaetodontidae	25.0	2	0.5	1.0	10.5	10	11	33.3	4	0.7	1.0	12.3	11	14	
	Haemulon																
caesar grunt	carbonarium	Haemulidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-		-	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	20.0	20	20	
	Haemulon	•															
french grunt	flavolineatum	Haemulidae	100.0	9	2.3	1.3	15.8	15	18	66.7	13	2.2	2.2	16.5	14	20	
bluestriped																	
grunt	Haemulon sciurus	Haemulidae	25.0	1	0.3	0.5	26.0	26	26	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	
1~ 1	Holocentrus						12										
squirrelfish	acensionis	Holocentridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	~		•	50.0	6	1.0	1.3	15.8	10	20	
longspine	rr i	TTI	100.0					\					- 1				
squirrelfish	Holocentrus rufus	Holocentridae	100.0	. 5	1.3	0.5	17.5	17	20	50.0	5	0.8	1.2	16.5	16	17	
spanish	D = 1:	Y M. S.A.			0.0	0.0											
hogfish	Bodiamıs rufus	Labridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				50.0	3	0.5	0.5	14.3	9	17	
slippery dick	Halichoeres	T all day	500	0	2.0	0.4	6.0								4		
yellowcheek	bivittatus Halichoeres	Labridae	50.0	8	2.0	2.4	6.0	5	9	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	- .	: -	
wrasse		T abaide a			0.0	0.0	`		•								
yellowhead	cyanocephalus Halichoeres	Labridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	18.5	12	25	
wrasse		Labridae	100.0		0.0	1.0	10.5										
WI abbu	garnoti Halichoeres	ьаопиае	100.0	11	2.8	1.0	10.5	8	16	83.3	25	4.2	3.1	9.1	4	18	
clown wrasse	maculipinna	Labridae	25.0		0.5	1.0	0.5		4 -	22.5				-			
CIOWII WIUSSE	тасшртка	Labridae	23.0	2	0.5	1.0	9.5	8	11	33.3	15	2.5	4.0	5.5	3	9	

Final Report: Patterns of habitat utilization by reef fish on St. Croix F-7-17, Study3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

			Appendix	2A (cor				from L	ang Bai	ık	-		02 Censu			
					Spring	'02 Cens	sus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
			% Freq	1	No. of Fig	sh		Size		%Freq	Ŋ	lo. of Fis		Size		
Common																
Name	Species	Family	(n=4)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max
bluehead	Thalassoma									```	**************************************					
wrasse orangespotted	bifasciatum Cantherhines	Labridae	100.0	1340	335.0	102.5	3.0	2	9	100.0	1405	234.2	86.2	4.3	2	10
filefish scrawled	pullus Acanthostracion	Monacanthidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		-,	· = . ·	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	21.8	17	25
cowfish	quadricornis	Ostraciidae	25.0	1	0.3	0.5	22.0	22	22	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		- • .	
queen angelfish	Holacanthus ciliaris	Pomacanthidae	25.0	1	0.3	0.5	25.0	25	25	0.0	· 0 · 1	0.0	0.0	_		
rock beauty	Holacanthus tricolor	Pomacanthidae	75.0	3	0.8	0.5	17.7	15	22	33.3	4	0.7	1.0	9.0	3	20
blue chromis yellowtail	Chromis cyanea Microspathodon	Pomacentridae	75.0	7	1.8	1.5	4.0	3	7	83.3	45	7.5	4.4	4.8	2	8
damselfish	chrysurus	Pomacentridae	0	0	0	0	<u>-</u> ,	-		16.7	1	0.2	0.4	12.0	12	12
bicolor damselfish	Stegastes partitus	Pomacentridae	100.0	171	42.8	18.6	4.0	2	6	100.0	721	120.2	25.6	3.8	2	6
striped	Scarus iserti (S.														_	
parrotfish princess	croicensis) Scarus	Scaridae	25.0	1	0.3	0.5	24.0	24	24	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	30.0	30	30
parrotfish redband	taeniopterus Sparisoma	Scaridae	100.0	11	2.8	1.3	16.8	12	21	100.0	28	4.7	1.9	17.2	10	30
parrotfish redtail	aurofrenatum	Scaridae	75.0	7	1.8	1.3	14.7	12	18	83.3	16	2.7	1.8	17.0	10	20
parrotfish	Sparisoma chrysopterum	Scaridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	· .	-	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	22.0	22	22
stoplight parrotfish	Sparisoma viride	Scaridae	50.0	3	0.8	1.0	27.8	25	30	50.0	7	1.2	1.5	30.7	25	40
coney	Cephalopholis fulvus	Serranidae	100.0	14	3.5	2.4	16.6	14	20	100.0	29	4.8	2.4	15.2	10	22
red hind	Epinephelus guttatus	Serranidae	0,0	0	0.0	0.0		_	*	16.7	1	0.2	0.4			
harlequin bass	Serramıs tigrimis	Serranidae	50.0	3	0.8	1.0	8.3	6	10	16.7	1 T	0.2		25.0	25	25
<u> </u>	σ		Total=	1659	0.0	1.0	0.5	<u> </u>	10	L	1	0.2	0.4	7.0	7	7
		A contract of the contract of	i Otai—	1009						Total=	2491					

F-7-17, Study3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2B. Fish census data from Jacks & Isaacs Bay

			Spring '02 Census											Fall '02 Census						
			% Freq		Vo. of F		508	Size		% Freq	T ,			IS	<u> </u>					
Common			(n=6)	1	NO. OL F.	1911		SIZE		(n=6)		No. of F	ISI)		Size					
Name	Species	Family		Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(** **)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max				
ocean	Acanthurus													11.15		27.2022				
surgeonfish	bahianus Acanthurus	Acanthuridae	100.0	74	12.3	5.5	15.0	7	20	100.0	32	5.3	1.2	13.7	6	20				
doctorfish	chirurgus Acanthurus	Acanthuridae	50.0	5	0.8	1.2	19.0	17	21	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	18.0	18	18				
blue tang	coerúleus	Acanthuridae	100.0	12	2.0	0.9	14.7	8	20	100.0	17	2.8	1.0	11.2	3	17				
black durgon	Melichthys niger Caranx crysos	Balistidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-		33.3	2	0.3	0.5	17.5	15	20				
blue runner	(C. fusus)	Carangidae	16,7	1	0.2	0.4	30.0	30	30	0.0	0	0.0	0.0			1				
bar jack banded	Caranx ruber Chaetodon	Carangidae	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	22.5	21	24	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	20.0	20	20				
butterflyfish	striatus Haemulon	Chaetodontidae	16.7	4	0.7	1.6	11.0	10	12	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	9.0	8	10				
tomtate	aurolineatum Haemulon	Haemulidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	19.0	19	. 19	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	14.5	14	15				
caesar grunt smallmouth	carbonarium Haemulon	Haemulidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	19.0	19	19	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	16.0	16	16				
grunt	chrysargyreum Haemulon	Haemulidae	16.7	3	0.5	1.2	12.0	12	. 12	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	- -		.				
french grunt bluestriped	flavolineatum Haemulon	Haemulidae	83.3	19	3.2	2.9	18.0	14	23	66,7	9	1.5	1.6	15.5	13	16				
grunt	sciurus Holocentrus	Haemulidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	₹	. =	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	19.0	18	20				
squirrelfish longspine	acensionis Holocentrus	Holocentridae	0.0	0	0,0	0.0	-	-		33.3	3	0.5	0.8	19.3	19	20				
squirrelfish blackbar	rufus Myripristis	Holocentridae	83.3	7	1.2	0.8	19,6	18	22	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	16.5	16	17				
soldierfish spanish	jacobus	Holocentridae	66.7	. 7	1.2	1.2	16.4	16	18	33.3	6	1.0	2.0	13.5	12	15				
hogfish	Bodianus rufus	Labridae	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	18.5	17	20	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	6.0	6	6				
creole wrasse	Clepticus parrae	Labridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		-	-	33.3	13	2.2	4.8	10.0	4	15				

Final Report: Patterns of habitat utilization by reef fish on St. Croix F-7-17, Study3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2B (continued). Fish census data from Jacks & Isaacs Bay

		i de la companya de La companya de la co				g '02 Cens		The same of the sa		Fall '02 Census						
Common			% Freq]	No. of Fi	sh	Size			% Freq				Size		
Name	Species	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max
yellowhead	Halichoeres															
wrasse	garnoti	Labridae	83.3	13	2.2	1.3	9.8	6	17	83.3	16	2.7	2.6	9.0	4	15
clown	Halichoeres				*											
wrasse	maculipinna Halichoeres	Labridae	0,0	0	0.0	0.0	- .	-		16.7	20	3.3	8.2	3.5	3	5
puddingwife bluehead	radiatus Thalassoma	Labridae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	8.0	8 "	8	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-		<u>.</u>
wrasse	bifasciatum	Labridae	100.0	995	165.8	78.3	5.3	2	12 .	100.0	640	106.7	59.6	4.0	2	10
schoolmaster mahogany	Lutjamıs apodus	Lutjanidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	17.0	17	17	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	20.0	20	20
snapper	Lutjanus mahogoni Malacanthus	Lutjanidae	16.7	3	0.5	1.2	22.0	20	22	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	18.0	15	20
sand tilefish scrawled	plumieri	Malacanthidae	50,0	3	0.5	0.5	27.3	26	30	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	25.0	25	25
filefish yellow	Aluterus scripta Mulloidichthys	Monacanthidae	16.7	1.	0.2	0.4	25.0	25	25	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	_	_
goatfish	martinicus	Mullidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	. 			16.7	5	0.8	2.0	18.0	16	20
honeycomb	Acanthostracion											V.0		10.0		20
cowfish smooth	ploygonia Lactophrys	Ostraciidae	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	24.0	22	26	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	20.0	20	20
trunkfish	triqueter Holacanthus	Ostraciidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	w			33.3	3	0.5	0.8	17.0	15	20
rock beauty sergeant	tricolor	Pomacanthidae	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	11.5	8	15	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	18.0	18	18
major	Abudefduf saxatilis	Pomacentridae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	13.0	13	13	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_	_	_
blue chromis	Chromis cyanea	Pomacentridae	100.0	197	32.8	32.0	5.3	2	8	100,0	139	23.2	19.3	4.3	2	7
brown	Chromis		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							100.0	1.00	22.5.21	1.7.0	7.5	مشد	
chromis	multilineata	Pomacentridae	16.7	8	1.3	3.3	5.5	. 5	6	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	5.5	5	6
yellowtail	Microspathodon														Ţ.	
damselfish	chrysurus	Pomacentridae	50.0	6	1.0	1.1	12.2	11	13	66.7	6	1.0	0.9	12.3	12	13
dusky	Stegastes adustus					.				4.		• •				-
damselfish	(S. fuscus) Stegastes	Pomacentridae	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	7.8	6	10	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	8.0	8	8
beaugregory	leucostictus	Pomacentridae	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	7.0	6	8	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_	***	

F-7-17, Study3 Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2B (continued). Fish census data from Jacks & Isaacs Bay

	×	•				g '02 Cens										
			% Freq	l I	lo. of Fi	sh		Size		% Freq	No. of Fish			Size		
Common						-				•						
Name	Species	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max
bicolor													~~~~		***************************************	
damselfish	Stegastes partitus	Pomacentridae	100.0	446	74.3	22.5	5.1	3	7	100.0	400	66.7	31.1	3.8	2	6
striped	Scarus iserti (S.															
parrotfish	croicensis)	Scaridae	16,7	2	0.3	0.8	13.0	12	14	16.7	3	0.5	1.2	10.0	6	18
princess	Scarus	0 1														
parrotfish queen	taeniopterus	Scaridae	83.3	17	2.8	2.8	15,4	8	22	66.7	5	0.8	8.0	21.5	16	30
parrotfish	Scarus vetula	Scaridae	16.7	2	0.3	0.0	01.0									
redband	Sparisoma	Scaridae	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	21.0	20	22	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-
parrotfish	ангоfrenatum	Scaridae	100.0	24	4.0	1.3	14.2	5	25	100.0	17	2.8	1.6	16.8	10	22
redtail	Sparisoma					1.5	1 1,2		22	100.0	17.	2.0	1.0	10.8	10	44
parrotfish	chrysopterum	Scaridae	16.7	12	2.0	4.9	23.0	18	24	16.7	1	0,2	0.4	22.0	22	22
stoplight																
parrotfish	Sparisoma viride	Scaridae	66.7	6	1.0	0.9	19.5	15	24	50.0	4	0.7	0.8	22.7	16	30
7	Pareques															
highhat	acuminatus	Sciaenidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	. ~	16.7	2	0.3	8.0	11.5	8	15
spotted	Scorpaena			٠.												
scorpionfish	plumieri Controlonioni	Scorpaenidae	16.7	l l	0.2	0.4	27.0	27	27	0.0	- 0	0.0	0.0	_	- <u>-</u>	
conort	Cephalopholis	0	100.0	2.0	-											
coney	fulvus Enimanhalus	Serranidae	100.0	32	5.3	2.7	18.1	12	25	100.0	19	3.2	1.6	16.6	10	25
red hind	Epinephelus guttatus	Serranidae	16.7	1	0.0	0.4	00.0	20		2.2						
sharpnose	Canthigaster	Scrammac	10.7	1	0.2	0.4	22.0	22	22	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	- :	7
puffer	rostrata	Tetraodontidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	5.0	5	5	0.0	0	0.0				
1		- Junioningao	Total -	1021	V.4	V.*t	3.0			0.0	U	0.0	0.0	-	-	

Total = 1921Total = 1385

F-7-17, Study3 Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2C. Fish census data from East Wall, Salt River Canyon

		App	endix 20	C. Fish c				u, Sait B										
												Fal	1 '02 Cen	sus				
										%								
			Freq	N	lo: of Fi	sh		Size		Freq	N	lo, of Fi			Size			
Common Name	Species	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max		
ocean	Acanthurus																	
surgeonfish	bahianus	Acanthuridae	100.0	73	12.2	4.4	14.5	12	18	100,0	62	10.3	2.8	13.3	8	18		
	Acanthurus																	
doctorfish	chirurgus	Acanthuridae	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	20.3	19	23	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-		-		
	Acanthurus																	
blue tang	coeruleus	Acanthuridae	83.3	18	3.0	0.9	15.4	14	18	100.0	20	3.3	1.6	15.0	10	20		
	Aulostomus											4.6						
trumpetfish	maculatus	Aulostomidae	16.7	2	0.3	8.0	27.5	25	30	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	42.5	30	50		
	Melichthys						* .	4 374				42.2						
black durgon	niger	Balistidae		- 11			23.7	20	25	83.3	31	5.2	7.4	21.2	15	25		
bar jack	Caranx ruber	Carangidae	33.3	4	0.7	1.0	21.0	14	20	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	19.0	18	20		
longsnout	Chaetodon		. 2						* .									
butterflyfish	aculeatus	Chaetodontidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	8.0	8	8 -	16.7	3	0.5	1.2	9.0	8	10		
foureye	Chaetodon																	
butterflyfish	capistratus	Chaetodontidae	100.0	16	2.7	1.8	9.3	7	11	83.3	18	3.0	2.4	9.0	5	11		
spotfin	Chaetodon																	
butterflyfish	ocellatus	Chaetodontidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	16.0	16	. 16	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	13.0	13	13		
banded	Chaetodon																	
butterflyfish	striatus	Chaetodontidae	50.0	6	1.0	1.1	10.5	8	12	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	11.0	11	11		
	Haemulon			100					No.									
french grunt	flavolineatum	Haemulidae	100.0	- 11	1.8	0.8	15.3	12	17	100.0	17	2.8	1.2	15.6	10	20		
	Haemulon														-			
white grunt	plumieri	Haemulidae	50.0	3	0.5	0.5	22.3	20	25	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	20.0	20	20		
	Haemulon				1													
bluestriped grunt	sciurus	Haemulidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	22.0	22	22	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-			
	Holocentrus											۰. ۳	7.0	100	10	00		
squirrelfish	acensionis	Holocentridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	16.7	3	0.5	1.2	19.0	18	20		
longspine	Holocentrus																	
squirrelfish	rufus	Holocentridae	50.0	5	8.0	1.0	16.2	15	17	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-		
blackbar	Myripristis																	
soldierfish	jacobus	Holocentridae	1					. ~		16.7	1	0.2	0.4	18.0	18	18		
spanish hogfish	Bodianus rufus	Labridae	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	11.5	3	20	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		_	_		

F-7-17, Study3

princess

parrotfish

Scarus

taeniopterus

Scaridae

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2C (continued). Fish census data from East Wall, Salt River Canyon Fall '02 Census Spring '02 Census Size No. of Fish No. of Fish Size %Freq %Freq Common Total Avg. StDev Avg Min Max Min Max (n=6)(n=6)Total Avg. StDev Avg Family Name Species yellowhead Halichoeres 8.6 4 14 3.7 2.6 1.3 9.8 5 100.0 22 8 -1.514 garnoti Labridae .50.0 wrasse bluehead Thalassoma 4.8 2 12 136.7 61.5 2 9 100.0 820 215.0 114.8 3.2 bifasciatum Labridae 100.0 1290 wrasse Lutjanus 10 15 5 0.8 2.0 13.0 16.7 Lutjanidae 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 apodus schoolmaster vellowtail Ocvurus 18 24 21.0 16.7 3 0.5 1.2 19 3.2 2.1 22.6 17 28 chrysurus Lutjanidae 100.0 snapper Cantherhines orangespotted 16 16 16.7 1 0.2 0.4 16.0 16.7 1 0.2 0.4 17.0 17 17 Monacanthidae pullus filefish Mulloidichthys vellow 19.0 18 20 0.5 1.2 0:2 20.0 20 20 16.7 3 Mullidae 16.7 1 0.4 martinicus goatfish Psuedupeneus spotted 0.5 16 20 0.0 0 0.0 0,0 Mullidae 50.0 3 0.5 18.7 maculatus goatfish Acanthostracion honeycomb 16.7 0.2 0.4 26.0 26 26 ploygonia 0.0 0 0.0 0.01 Ostraciidae cowfish smooth Lactophrys 15.8 15 17 0.7 0.5 0 0.0 0.0 66.7 4 Ostraciidae 0.0 trunkfish triaueter Holacanthus 17.5 13 22 33.3 2 0.3 0.5 tricolor Pomacanthidae 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 rock beauty Pomacanthus french 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 2 0.3 0.524.5 23 26 Pomacanthidae 33.3 angelfish paru Abudefduf : 10.7 11.8 8 15 2 0.3 8.0 13.0 12 14 83.3 67 11.2 16.7 saxatilis Pomacentridae sergeant major 7 13.9 4.3 3 2 65 10.8 71 3.0 4 50.0 blue chromis Chromis cyanea Pomacentridae 33.3 11.8 24.0 vellowtail Microspathodon 10 13 66.7 7 1.2 1.0 11.6 damselfish chrysurus Pomacentridae 83.3 5 0.8 0.4 12.4 12 13 Stegastes bicolor 2 35.2 4.0 2 6 partitus Pomacentridae 100.0 143 23.87.8 4.0 7 100.0 211 8.1 damselfish Scarus iserti (S. striped 2 0,3 16.7 6 1.0 2.4 5.0 4 5 parrotfish croicensis) Scaridae 16.7 0.8 8.0 4 12

83.3

2.5

2.1

17.0

14

15

25

83.3

21

3.5

2.3

7

25

17.1

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2C (continued). Fish census data from East Wall, Salt River Canyon

		Appendi	X 2C (CO)	ininaca)		nsus data ng '02 Cen	Acceptance		, Duit 1.51	or only	us					
			%			15 02 00.				%						
garage and the second			Freq	1	lo, of Fi	sh	. A.J.	Size		Freq	N	o. of Fi	sh		Size	
Common																
Name	Species	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg,	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max
greenblotch	Sparisoma															
parrotfish	atomarium	Scaridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		_	-	16.7	4	0.7	1.6	14.5	14	15
redband	Sparisoma		te to a								<u> </u>	2.7		17.0	11	23
parrotfish	aurofrenatum	Scaridae	83,3	13	2.2	1.7	16.9	12	21	83.3	21	3.5	1.9	17.2	11	23
stoplight	Sparisoma		7				50.7	1.0	20	cen		10	0.0	19.8	6	32
parrotfish	viride	Scaridae	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	22.5	15	30	66.7	6	1.0	0.9	19.8	6	34
	Cephalopholis				0.0		107.0	17	17	167		0.2	0.4	18.0	18	18
graysby	cruentatus	Serranidae	16.7		0.2	0.4	17.0	17	1.7	16.7	L	0.2	0.4	10.0	10	10
	Cephalopholis		100.0	1.0	0.5	1.6	16.4	14	19	83.3	15	2.5	1.9	17.8	8	24
coney	fulvus	Serranidae	100.0	15	2.5	1.6	10.4	1,4	19	03.3	1.5	2.3	1.2	17.0		
11.	Epinephelus	Serranidae	16.7		0.2	0.4	25.0	25	25	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	20.0	20	20
red hind	guitaius Um orde et ma	Serramoae	10.7	1	0.4	0.4	25.0	23	200	1.0.1		0.2		20.0		
aby hamlat	Hypoplectrus guttavarius	Serranidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	13.0	13	13	0.0	- 0	0.0	0.0	-	<u>-</u>	
shy hamlet	Hypoplectrus	Serramuae	10.7		0.2	0.1	15.0		10			***	4.4			
black hamlet	nigricans	Serranidae	16.7		0.2	0.4	14.0	14	14	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_	<u> </u>	_
DIACK HAIRICE	Hypoplectrus	Sofranidao	10.7		V. 		1,,,,									
butter hamlet	unicolor	Serranidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	10.0	10	10	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	_	-
greater	Rypticus	Outprotes														
soapfish	saponaceus	Serranidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		-		16.7	1	0.2	0.4	15.0	15	15
Joaphion	Serranus			-												
tobaccofish	tabacarius	Serranidae	0.0	0 -	0.0	0,0				16.7	1	0.2	0.4	5.0	5	5
	Serranus										1					
harlequin bass	tigrinus	Serranidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	11.0	11	11	50.0	3	0.5	0.5	7.0	5	8
sharpnose	Canthigaster	14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (14 (1	4					
puffer	rostrata	Tetraodontidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-			16.7	1 1	0.2	0.4	6.0	6	6

Total= 1755 Total= 1456

Final Report: Patterns of habitat utilization by reef fish on St. Croix F-7-17, Study3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2D. Fish census data from West Wall, Salt River Canyon

			pondia z	- L 1011		ng '02 Ce		<u>,</u>		Fall '02 Census						
			%		131311	ug 02 CC	naus -			9/0		1.4	11 02 0011	503		
			Freq	N	o. of Fis	sh		Size		Freq	N	lo. of Fi	sh		Size	
Common			x x 0 c1					1.00		1 2.04		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Name	Species Name	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max
ocean	Acanthurus												######################################			******
surgeonfish	bahianus	Acanthuridae	100.0	96	16.0	6.3	13.6	9	17	100.0	49	8.2	4.3	14.8	7	22
	Acanthurus															
doctorfish	chirurgus	Acanthuridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	'	20 1 <u>4</u> 1	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	16.5	12	21
	Acanthurus															
blue tang	coeruleus	Acanthuridae	83.3	14	2.3	1.6	16.4	14	20	100.0	12	2.0	0.6	16.8	14	20
	Melichthys		V													
black durgon	niger	Balistidae	66.7	27	4.5	6.3	23.1	20	26	66.7	44	7.3	8.9	23.0	12	25
peacock		~ .1.1			0.0	0.0				1,7,7		0.0		10.0	10	10
flounder	Bothus lunatus	Bothidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-			16.7	1	0.2	0.4	12.0	12	12
bar jack	Caranx ruber	Carangidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	22.0	22	22	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	29.5	18	40
longsnout	Chaetodon	City in the state of the state	22.2		0.5	0.0		,	7-9	22.2		0.5	0.0		7	10
butterflyfish foureye	aculeatus Chaetodon	Chaetodontidae	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	6.3	6	7	33.3	3	0.5	8.0	8.0	'	10
butterflyfish	capistratus	Chaetodontidae	100.0	20	3.3	2.3	9.5	4	11	83,3	15	2.5	1.6	9.2	7	12
banded	Chaetodon	Chaetodomidae	100.0	20	3.3	2.3	9.5	4	1.1	03.3	1.5	2.5	1.0	9.2	<i>,</i>	12
butterflyfish	striatus	Chaetodontidae	50.0	5	0.8	1.0	11.5	11	12	0.0	0	0.00	0.0	_		
yellowfin	SHICKHS	Chactodonadao	30.0		0.0	.1.0	11/	. 11	12	0.0		0.00	0.0	_	· .	
mojarra	Gerres cinereus	Gerreidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_	-	. •	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	25.0	24	26
,	Haemulon						'									-
french grunt	flavolineatum	Haemulidae	100.0	17	2.8	1.0	13.8	9	17	83.3	15	2.5	2.4	17.2	15	20
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Haemulon			1			1									
white grunt	plumieri	Haemulidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-			16.7	1	0.2	0.4	22.0	22	22
	Holocentrus															
squirrelfish	adcensionis	Holocentridae	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	17.3	15	20	16.7	1	. 0.2	0.4	18.0	18	18
longspine	Holocentrus															
squirrelfish	rufus	Holocentridae	0.0	- 0	0.0	0.0	-		-	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	16.5	16	17
blackbar	Myripristis	1 41										6 4.				
soldierfish	jacobus	Holocentridae	16.7] 1	0.2	0.4	14.0	14	14	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	15.0	15	15
	Clepticus	T 1 1	ا بر در						*			.3				
creole wrasse	parrae	Labridae	66.7	136	22.7	27:0	10.5	. 8	15	66.7	345	57.5	58.8	16.3	10	20

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2D (continued). Fish census data from West Wall, Salt River Canyon

						ig '02 Ce			,	Fall '02 Census								
			%	***************						%								
Common			Freq	N	lo. of Fis	h		Size	Ya''	Freq		lo. of Fis	sh		Size			
Name	Species Name	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max		
	Halichoeres																	
slippery dick	bivittatus	Labridae	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	2.5	2	3	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_	·	-		
yellowhead	Halichoeres																	
wrasse	garnoti	Labridae	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	10.0	10	10	83.3	14	2.3	1.2	9.4	5	15		
bluehead	Thalassoma	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			. 13						100							
wrasse	bifasciatum	Labridae	100.0	1333	222.2	41.8	3,0	1.5	10	100.0	1235	205.8	130.2	4.6	2	10		
	Lutjanus														1.			
schoolmaster	apodus	Lutjanidae	33.3	- 3	0.5	0.8	22:0	18	25	33.3	.2	0.3	0.5	30.0	30	30		
yellowtail	Ocyurus															20		
snapper	chrysurus	Lutjanidae	33.3	20	3.3	5.2	25.0	20	30	50.0	15	2.5	2.8	26.0	21	30		
scrawled					2.0	0.0				1.0		0.0	0.4	26.0	35	35		
filefish	Aluterus scripta	Monacanthidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	35.0	: 33	33		
orangespotted	Cantherhines				0.0	0.0				50.0	2	Λ.5	0.5	15.7	15	16		
filefish	pullus	Monacanthidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	· ·	-	50.0	3	0.5	0.3	13.7	13	10		
11 (0.1	Mulloidichthys	n autoria.	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				16.7	4	0,7	1.6	17.0	16	19		
yellow goatfish	martinicus	Mullidae	0.0	U	0.0	0.0	-	· -		10.7	4	0,7	1.0	17.0	10	12		
spotted	Psuedupeneus maculatus	Mullidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				16.7	1	0.2	0.4	20.0	20	20		
goatfish	macuianis Holacanthus	Munuae	0.0	U	0.0	0.0	_	- 5 - 5	-	10.7	1	0,2	V.4	20.0	٠.٠	20		
rock beauty	tricolor	Pomacanthidae	16,7	1	0.2	0.4	17.0	17	17	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	6.0	6	6		
Took beauty	Abudefduf	1 Omacammuae	10.7	1	0.2	0.4	17.0	17	17	10.7	1	0.22	V. 1	0.0				
sergeant major	saxatilis	Pomacentridae	50.0	20	3.3	5.9	11.3	10	14	50.0	20	3.3	4.3	12.8	10	14		
blue chromis	Chromis cyanea	Pomacentridae	16.7	3	0.5	1.2	4.0	3	5	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		_	_		
Dide Chaolins	Chromis Cyanea Chromis	1 Onlacciti idae	10.7		0.0	1.21	7.0		,	0.0		0.0	0.0					
brown chromis	multilineata	Pomacentridae	33.3	41	6.8	14.0	5.3	4	7	33.3	90	15.0	23.5	6.0	4	7		
yellowtail	Microspathodon	1 Office of the Control of the Contr	33.5		0.0		1 5.5		•						. ` •	•		
damselfish	chrysurus	Pomacentridae	100.0	14	2.3	0.8	10.0	5	12	100.0	17	2.8	1.2	12,1	2.5	15		
	Stegastes							· .										
dusky	achistus (S.										1							
damselfish	fuscus)	Pomacentridae	100.0	39	6.5	10.1	7.9	3	12	83.3	15	2.5	1.8	8.9	7	11		
	Stegastes			1				* .										
beaugregory	leucostictus	Pomacentridae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	9.0	9	9	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-			

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2D (continued). Fish census data from West Wall, Salt River Canyon

		Ap	pendix 2D	(continu				ı West V	Nall, Sali	alt River Canyon									
					Sprin	g '02 Cer	isus		-	Fall '02 Census									
			%Freq	N	lo. of Fi	sh	1.0	Size		%Freq	1	lo. of Fi	sh	Size					
Common						,													
Name	Species Name	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max			
bicolor	Stegastes																		
damselfish	partitus	Pomacentridae	100.0	52	8,7	8.7	5.3	1	12	100.0	220	36.7	10.8	4.4	2	8			
striped	Scarus iserti (S.		22.2																
parrotfish	croicensis)	Scaridae	33.3	2	0.3	.0.5	24.5	24	25	50.0	6	1.0	1.3	20.2	15	23			
princess	Scarus	Scaridae	66.7	16	2.7		17.0	10	00	1000		0.0		~~ ~		20			
parrotfish	taeniopterus	Scarioae	00.7	10	4.1	2.9	17.8	10	22	100,0	16	2.7	1.6	20.9	14	30			
parrotfish	Scarus vetula	Scaridae	16.7	3	0.5	1.2	24.0	18	31	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	40.0	40	40			
redband	Sparisoma -	Scaridad	10.7] '	0.5	1.2	24.0	10	31	10.7	1	0.2	V. -1	40.0	-1 0	40			
parrotfish	aurofrenatum	Scaridae	100.0	18	3.0	1.9	15.5	6	20	83.3	20	3.3	2.8	15.6	5	23			
redtail	Sparisoma								20			3.2	2.0	13.0					
parrotfish	chrysopterum	Scaridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	•		16.7	1	0.2	0.4	23.0	23	23			
yellowtail	Sparisoma						*.												
parrotfish	rubripinne	Scaridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	,	-		16.7	1	0.2	0.4	25.0	25	25			
stoplight	Sparisoma																		
parrotfish	viride	Scaridae	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	15.0	10	20	50.0	5	0.8	1.0	26.0	13	32			
cero mackerel	Scomberomorus regalis	Scombridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				2			0.4	20.0		20			
mackerer	Cephalopholis	Scombildae	0.0	U	0,0	0.0	-	· -	·	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	30.0	30	30			
coney	fulvus	Serranidae	100.0	19	3.2	1.5	11,5	5	18	100.0	20	3.3	1.0	18.7	12	28			
yellowtail	Hypoplectrus	borramado	100.0			1.3	1.6.47		10	100.0	20	5,5	1.0	10.7	14	40			
hamlet	chlorurus	Serranidae	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	12.5	10	15	0.0	0	0.0	0.0						
	Hypoplectrus				0.0		1		1.5	0.0		0,0	0.0						
shy hamlet	guttavarius	Serranidae	0.0	Ö	0.0	0.0	-	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	11.0	11	11			
barred	Hypoplectrus																		
hamlet	puella	Serranidae	0,0	0	0.0	0.0				16,7	1	0.2	0.4	10.0	10	10			
harlequin	Serramıs							14					*						
bass	tigrimus	Serranidae	66.7	4	0.7	0.5	8.0	6	9	66.7	10	1.7	1.6	8.9	7	10			
sand diver	Synodus		167																
sand diver sharpnose	intermedius Canthigaster	Synodontidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	22.0	22	22	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-			
puffer	rostrata	Tetraodontidae	16.7	1	0.2	0,4	10			50.0		^ ~							
Parior	TOSH CHEL	1 ch aouomidae	10.7	<u> </u>	0.2	U.4	4.0	4	4	50.0	3	0.5	0.5	7.7	4	13			

Total = 1922 Total = 2221

Final Report: Patterns of habitat utilization by reef fish on St. Croix F-7-17, Study3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2E. Fish census data from Eagle Ray

				pondix.			ata nom r	Jagio I.	Fall 102 Congres									
				r	Spr	ing '02 C	ensus		-		Fall '02 Census							
			%					<i>a</i> .		%		7 /2 75			α.			
	******************************		Freq		lo. of Fi	sh		Size	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Freq	1	No. of Fi	sh		Size			
Common						~ ~				()	m (a					
Name	Species	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max		
ocean	Acenthurus		100									1 22						
surgeonfish	bahianus	Acanthuridae	100.0	54	9.0	1.8	15	12	18	100.0	37	6.2	2.6	12.8	.7	16		
	Acanthurus							Visit in the second										
doctorfish	chirurgus	Acanthuridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				16.7	3	0.5	1.2	18.0	16	20		
	Acanthurus																	
blue tang	coeruleus	Acanthuridae	66.7	6	1.0	0.9	15.625	12	1.8	83.3	14	2.3	1.8	13.7	8	18		
	Aulostomus																	
trumpetfish	. maculatus	Aulostomidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	41.0	32	50		
	Melichthys																	
black durgon	niger	Balistidae	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	23	22	24	0.0	- 0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-		
bar jack	Caranx ruber	Carangidae	0.0	0	0.00	0.0	-	5 - 2 - 1	-	33.3	7	1.2	2.0	22.5	20	30		
foureye	Chaetodon													}				
butterflyfish	capistratus	Chaetodontidae	83.3	11	1.8	1.0	9.2	7	12	83.3	13	2.2	1.2	10.1	9,1	. 12		
banded	Chaetodon																	
butterflyfish	striatus	Chaetodontidae	0.0	0	0.00	0.0	-			66.7	8	1.3	1.0	11.5	10	15		
	Haemulon															100		
caesar grunt	carbonarium	Haemulidae	0.0	- 0 -	0.0	0.0	-	- '		16.7	1	0.2	0.4	30.0	30	30		
	Haemulon																	
french grunt	flavolineatum	Haemulidae	83.3	10	1.7	1.8	15.8	15	17	100.0	13	2.2	1.0	14.8	12	18		
	Haemulon																	
white grunt	plumieri	Haemulidae	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	23	21	25	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_		_		
	Holocentrus																	
squirrelfish	acensionis	Holocentridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-		-	50.0	6	1.0	1.3	19,0	17	31		
longspine	Holocentrus			1														
squirrelfish	rufus	Holocentridae	50.0	4	0.7	0.8	18.167	17	20	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	16.0	16	16		
blackbar	Myripristis				7													
soldierfish	jacobus	Holocentridae	50.0	19	3.2	4.8	15.167	1.2	17	83.3	18	3.0	3.6	13.8	10	18		
spanish																		
hogfish	Bodianus rufus	Labridae	16.7	1 .	0.2	0.4	20	20	20	66.7	5	0.8	0.8	11.4	5	25		
	Clepticus									-								
creole wrasse	parrae	Labridae	66.7	96	16.0	12.9	7 .	-3	12	50.0	260	43.3	70.9	6.3	. 2	15		

Acanthostracion

Ostraciidae

Ostraciidae

Ostraciidae

Pomacanthidae

Pomacentridae

Pomacentridae

Pomacentridae

Pomacentridae

16.7

0.0

0.0

0.0

33,3

100.0

16.7

66.7

1

0

0

0

12

104

10

7

0.2

0.0

0.0

0.0

2.0

17.3

1.7

1.2

ploygonia

Lactophrys

biçaudalis

Lactophrys

Holacanthus

Abudefduf saxatilis

Chromis multilineata

chrysurus

Chromis cyanea

Microspathodon

triaueter

tricolor

F-7-17, Study3

Name

wrasse

wrasse

wrasse

snapper

spotted

goatfish

cowfish

spotted

trunkfish

trunkfish

rock beauty

sergeant major

brown chromis

yellowtail

damselfish

blue chromis

smooth

honeycomb

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Spring '02 Census % % No. of Fish Size. Freq No. of Fish Size Freq Common Total Avg. StDev Avg Min Max Species Family (n=6)Total Avg. StDev Avg Min Max (n=6)Halichoeres vellowcheek 15 0 1 0.2 0.4 15,0 15 Labridae 0.0 0.0 16.7 cvanocephalus 0.0 Halichoeres vellowhead 4 16 Labridae 100.0 18 3,0 1.4 8.3333 3 15 83,3 29 4.8 3.3 8.6 garnoti Thalassoma bluehead 2 Labridae 100.0 243.3 36.1 4.25 2 10 100.0 805 134.2 75.7 4.6 12 bifasciatum 1460 35 35 Lutjanus analis Lutianidae 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 33.3 2 0.3 0.5 35.0 mutton snapper Lutjamis schoolmaster apodus Lutjanidae 16.7 2 0.3 0.8 17 17 17 16.7 1 0.2 0.4 17.0 17 17 yellowtail Ocyurus chrysurus Lutianidae 83.3 10 1.7 1.8 20.1 1.5 25 50.0 5 0.8 1.2 20.7 20 25 Mulloidichthys 0.3 25 7 1.2 2.9 17.0 0 19 yellow goatfish martinicus Mullidae 33.3 2 0.5° 23.5 22 16.7 Psuedupeneus 17.2 maculatus Mullidae 50.0 3 0.5 0.5 16.333 14 19 83.3 6 1.0 0.6 15 20

0.4

0.0

0.0

0.0

3.1

12.9

4.1

1.5

24

11.5

4.6667

3

11.25

24

10

2

3

6

24

14

8

4

12

0.0

16.7

16.7

16.7

83.3

100.0

66.7

33.3

0

-1

.1

57

200

260

4

0.0

0.2

0.2

0.2

9.5

33.3

43.3

0.7

0.0

0.4

0.4

0.4

7.4

11.1

68.4

1.2

13.0

14.0

17.0

11.7

5.0

3.6

11.0

13

14

17

8

3

3

13

14

17

14

8

6

12

Appendix 2E (continued). Fish census data from Eagle Ray

Fall '02 Census

Cephalopholis

Epinephelus

Hypoplectrus

Hypoplectrus

Hypoplectrus

Serranidae

Serranidae

Serranidae

Serranidae

Serranidae

50.0

0.0

16.7

16.7

16.7

7

0

1.2

0.0

0.2

0.2

0.2

1.3

0.0

0.4

0.4

0.4

14.667

12

13

11

10

12

13

11

17

12

13

11

66.7

16.7

0.0

0.0

0.0

1.8

0.2

0.0

0.0

0.0

11

0

0

0

fulvus

guttatus

chlorurus

nigricans

unicolor

coney

red hind

yellowtail hamlet

black hamlet

butter hamlet

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Fall '02 Census Spring '02 Census No. of Fish Size % Freq Size % Freq No. of Fish Common Name (n=6)Total Avg. StDev Min Max (n=6)Total Avg. StDev Ανα Min Max Species Family Avg Stegastes dusky adustus (S. 22 8.2 6 12 83.3 5.2 7.2 5 10 83.3 3.7 2.2 damselfish fuscus) Pomacentridae 31 4.0 Stegastes 66.7 9 7 1.2 9.5 10 12 leucostictus Pomacentridae 9 1.5 1.5 6.5 4 33.3 1.8 beaugregory bicolor Stegastes 7 3.8 2 100.0 8.1 4,3333 2 100.0 251 41.8 19.6 6 damselfish partitus Pomacentridae 192 32.0 cocoa Stegastes 5 8 9.0 5 damselfish variabilis Pomacentridae 16.7 8.0 2.0 6 5 33.3 6 1.0 1.7 12 striped Scarus iserti (S. 7 parrotfish croicensis) Scaridae 50.0 8 1.3 2.0 16.833 10 21 50.0 .20 3.3 5.6 14.0 21 princess Scarus 10 parrotfish taeniopterus Scaridae 83.3 15 2.5 1.9 17.7 10 26 66.7 13 2.2 2.2 15.5 22 queen parrotfish Scarus vetula Scaridae 16.7 3 0.5 1.2 30 25 35 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 redband Sparisomaparrotfish aurofrenatum Scaridae 83:3 21 3.5 2.7 16.9 8 24 83.3 26 4.3 3.1 16.9 10 22 redtail Sparisoma parrotfish chrysopterum 50.0 0.7 0.0 0 0.0 Scaridae 0.8 26.5 20 32 -0.0stoplight Sparisoma 5 viride Scaridae 66.7 5 0.8 0.8 23 83.3 25.9 7 40 parrotfish 35 9 1.5 1.0 Cephalopholis 14 17 graysby cruentatus Serranidae 66.7 9 1.5 1.6 16.5 25 50.0 4 0.7 0.8 18.7 20

Appendix 2E (continued). Fish census data from Eagle Ray

17.6

18.0

1.6

0.4

0.0

0.0

0.0

12

18

21

18

F-7-17, Study3

Name

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2E (continued). Fish census data from Eagle Ray Fall '02 Census Spring '02 Census Size Size % Freq No. of Fish % Freq No. of Fish Common Avg. StDev Min Max Total StDev Avg Species Family (n=6)Total Avg. Min Max (n=6)Avg Serramus 0.0 0.0 Serranidae 0.3 0 tabacarius 16.7 2 8.0 4 4 4 0.0

tobaccofish Serranus 5 0.5 1.2 1.0 6.3 8 harlequin bass tigrinus Serranidae 16.7 3 8 7 9 50.0 6 1.3 Calamus 0.0 jolthead porgy Sparidae 0.3 25 26 0.00 0.0 bajonado 33.3 2 0.5 25.5 Canthigaster sharpnose 5.75 3 0.5 0.8 5.5 7 puffer Tetraodontidae 33,3 3 0.5 0.8 5 б 33.3 rostrata

> 2148 Total = 2156 Total =

Final Report: Patterns of habitat utilization by reef fish on St. Croix F-7-17, Study3
Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2F. Fish census data from Cane Bay

				ppendix		~~~~~~~~~~	rs Fall '02 Census									
				'02 Censu	18							Size				
			%	<u> </u>	lo. of Fis	sh		Size		%	No. of Fish			Size		
Common			Freq	70		0.70				Freq	Total	Avg.	C(D)		X 47	X 6
Name	Species	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	No.	No.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max
ocean surgeonfish	Acanthurus bahianus Acanthurus	Acanthuridae	100.0	24	4.0	1.1	14.7	10	20	100.0	29	4.8	2.2	16.0	11	20
doctorfish	chirurgus Acanthurus	Acanthuridae	16.7	1	0.2	0,4	15.0	15	15	50.0	5	0.8	1.0	17.0	15	20
blue tang	coeruleus Aulostomus	Acanthuridae	100.0	13	2.2	0.4	15.8	10	20	66.7	10	1.7	1.6	15.3	11	18
trumpetfish orangespotted	maculatus Cantherhines	Aulostomidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	35.0	35	35	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	- 1 - 1	
filefish	pullus Melichthys	Monacanthidae	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	12.8	12	14	33.3	3	0.5	0.9	15.5	14	16
black durgon	niger	Balistidae	100.0	39	6.5	6.4	22.0	12	28	100.0	- 66	11.0	6.7	22.7	13	30
bar jack foureye	Caranx ruber Chaetodon	Carangidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	17.0	17	17	83.3	11	1.8	1.5	22.0	12	30
butterflyfish	capistratus	Chaetodontidae	66.7	8	1.3	1.0	11.3	8	1.5	50.0	5	8.0	1.0	9.7	-8	10
fairy basslet	Gramma loreto Haemulon	Grammatidae	50.0	10	1.7	2.1	2.7	1	3	66.7	32	5.3	4.6	4.0	2	5
caesar grunt smallmouth	carbonarium Haemulon	Haemulidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	· -	. *	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	21.5	18	25
grunt	chrysargyreum Haemulon	Haemulidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	~	***	16.7	2	0.3	0.9	14.5	14	15
french grunt	flavolineatum Haemulon	Haemulidae	50.0	20	3.3	6.7	13.5	12	15	66.7	13	2.2	2.2	16.0	12	20
white grunt bluestriped	plumieri Haemulon	Haemulidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1 mg	-	•	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	18.0	18	18
grunt	sciurus Holocentrus	Haemulidae	16.7	3	0.5	1.2	22.0	20	25	16.7	4	0.7	1.6	24.0	20	25
squirrelfish longspine	adcensionis Holocentrus	Holocentridae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	13.0	13	13	16.7	3	0.5	1.3	18.0	16	20
squirrelfish blackbar	rufus Myripristis	Holocentridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	- <u>-</u> .	-	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	15.0	15	15
soldierfish	jacobus	Holocentridae	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	15.0	15	15	33.3	5	8.0	1.7	17.0	15	20

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2F (continued). Fish census data from Cane Bay Fall '02 Census Spring '02 Census % No. of Fish Size % No. of Fish Size Freq Total Avg. Freq Common StDev Min Max StDev Avg Min (n=6)No. Name Species Family (n=6)Total Avg. Max No. Avg Neoniphon longjaw 0.2 13.0 13 13 16.7 0.4 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 . 1 squirrelfish mariamis Holocentridae spanish 2 25.0 20 30 3 0.5 0.5 19.7 17 22 33,3 0.3 0.5 hogfish Bodianus rufus Labridae 50.0 Clepticus 388.7 463.4 4.0 2 18 Labridae 66.7 311 51.8 84.9 7.0 1 16 50.0 2332 parrae creole wrasse Halichoeres vellowhead 15 Labridae 66.7 10 1.7 1.6 8.0 5 12 66,7 24 4.0 4.3 6.1 4 garnoti wrasse Thalassoma bluehead 100.0 2 13 bifasciatum Labridae 100.0 722 120.3 43.5 2.3 6 100.0 600 91.0 4.4 wrasse Lutjamis 5 0.8 36.2 30 40. apodus Lutjanidae 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 50.0 1.0 schoolmaster mahogany Lutianus 0.0 18 22 mahogoni Lutjanidae 0.0 0 0.033.3 4 0.7 1.3 19.5 snapper yellow Mulloidichthys 12 19 goatfish Mullidae 33.3 5 0.8 1.3 16.8 12 22 50.0 12 2.0 3.4 17.0 martinicus spotted Lactophrys 16.7 0.2 0.4 18.0 18 18 trunkfish bicaudalis Ostraciidae 0.00 0.0 0.0 Abudefduf sergeant 10 saxatilis Pomacentridae 83.3 10 1.7 1.0 11.8 10 15 83.3 13 2.2 2.113.0 20 major 10 642 2 blue chromis Chromis cyanea Pomacentridae 100.0 682 113.7 79.0 3.7 10 100.0 107.0 62.3 5.0 Chromis brown 2 chromis multilineata 100.0 101.7 1170 195.0 126.2 5.2 10 Pomacentridae 901 150,2 4,3 1 8. 83.3 Microspathodon vellowtail damselfish chrysurus Pomacentridae 83.3 12 2.0 1.1 9,9 4 14 83.3 13 2.2 1.2 13.3 4 20 Stegastes dusky adustus (S. damselfish fuscus) Pomacentridae 100.0 48 8.0 5.3 8.2 5 100.0 36 5 12 6.0 2.8 9.8 15 Stegastes leucostictus 0.0 beaugregory Pomacentridae 0 0.0 0.0 16.7 0.2 0.4 7.0 7 bicolor Stegastes damselfish partitus Pomacentridae 100.0 227 37.8 3.7 100.0

14.0

2

8

4.5

26.0

285

47,5

F-7-17, Study3

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2F (continued). Fish census data from Cane Bay

			Append	IX ZF (CO	nunuea).	rish cen	sus dad	anom	Cane o	ay						
					Spring '0	2 Census	3					Fall '02	2 Census			
			% Freq	N	lo. of Fis	h		Size		% Freq	N	o. of Fisl	h		Size	
Common											Total	Avg.				
Name	Species	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	No.	No.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max
threespot	Stegastes		and the second s													
damselfish	planifrons	Pomacentridae	50.0	10	1.7	2.0	5.7	3	10	66.7	24	4.0	5.4	7.3	3	11
striped	Scarus iserti (S.															
parrotfish	croicensis)	Scaridae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_	4	*,	50.0	11	1.8	2.4	8.7	5	25
princess	Scarus															
parrotfish	taeniopterus	Scaridae	100.0	18	3.0	1.1	16.9	10	22	83.3	20	3.3	3.2	19.3	5	30
queen																
parrotfish	Scarus vetula	Scaridae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	32.0	32	32	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		-	-
redband	Sparisoma												King Park			
parrotfish	aurofrenatum	Scaridae	83.3	20	3.3	2.3	17.4	· 7	24	66.7	14	2.3	1.6	16.8	10	30
stoplight	Sparisoma															
parrotfish	viride	Scaridae	50.0	5	0.8	1.2	20.7	6	35	83.3	17	2.8	2.3	27.0	5	35
	Cephalopholis			į												
graysby	cruentatus	Serranidae	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	20.0	18	22	83.3	. 11	1.8	0.8	18.3	15	23
	Cephalopholis															
coney	fulvus	Serranidae	50.0	6	1.0	1.1	14.8	12	18	50.0	5	0.8	1.2	17.0	15	20
	Hypoplectrus															
black hamlet	nigricans	Serranidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-		-	50.0	3	0.5	0.5	9.0	.6	12
harlequin	Serramis												4			1 4
bass	tigrinus	Serranidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0				16.7	1	0.2	0.4	6.0	6	6
sharpnose	Canthigaster									'				1 2 2		
puffer	rostrata	Tetraodontidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	6.0	.6	6	33.3	4	0.7	1.1	5.5	4	7
harlequin bass sharpnose	Serramıs tigrimus Canthigaster	Serranidae	0.0		0.0	0.0	6.0	6	6	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	6.0	6	

Total = 3120 Total = 5443

F-7-17, Study3

spanish hogfish

creole

wrasse

wrasse

clown wrasse

bluehead

wrasse

yellowhead

Bodianus rufus

Clepticus parrae

garnoti

Halichoeres

Halichoeres

maculipinna

Thalassoma bifasciatum Labridae

Labridae

Labridae

Labridae

Labridae

16.7

100.0

83.3

0.0

83.3

1.

1467

100

0

650

0.2

244.5

16.7

0.0

108.3

0.4

252.7

31.3

0.0

79.6

22.0

5.5

6,3

2.7

22

1

2

22

14

14

10

50.0

66.7

66.7

16.7

83.3

1.0

114.2

3.2

0.2

54.2

1.3

240.1

3.0

0.4

31.8

6

685

19

325

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Spring '02 Census Fall '02 Census % No. of Fish Size No. of Fish Size % Freq Freq Common Min Total StDev Avg Max Name Species Name Family (n=6)Total Avg. StDev Avg Min Max (n=6)Avg. Acanthurus ocean bahianus Acanthuridae 2.7 15.1 12 18 83,3 14 2.3 2.3 15.1 12 20 surgeonfish 83.3 16 2.1 Acanthurus Acanthuridae 2.5 10 9 1.5 1.0 16.8 15 20 coeruleus 83.3 15 1.5 14.4 18 83.3 blue tang Aulostomus 0.5 15 maculatus Aulostomidae 16.7 1 0.2 0.4 50.0 50 50 50.0 3 0.5 24.7 34 trumpetfish Caranx crysos 0.20.4 30.0 30 30 (C. fusus) Carangidae 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 16.7 1 blue runner 20 22 Caranx ruber Carangidae 33.3 5 0.8 28.0 2 0.3 0.8 21.0 bar jack 1.6 26 30. 16.7 Chaetodon foureye 7 Chaetodontidae 33.3 0.7 1.0 9.0 7 83.3 1.7 0.8 9.6 12 butterflyfish capistratus 4 11 10 yellowfin Gerreidae 16.7 2 0.3 0.0 Gerres cinereus 0.8 18.5 17 20 0.0 0 0.0 mojarra 2 5 3 5. fairy basslet Gramma loreto Grammatidae 16.7 1 0.2 0.4 2.0 2 50.0 0.8 1.0 4.0 Haemulon carbonarium Haemulidae 16.7 2 0.3 0.8 15 20 0 0.0 0.0 17.5 0.0 caesar grunt Haemulon flavolineatum french grunt Haemulidae 66.7 7 1.2 1.2 15.5 14 17 66.7 5 0.8 0.8 17.0 15 20 Holocentrus squirrelfish adcensionis Holocentridae 16.7 0.2 17.0 0 1 0.4 17 17 0.0 0.0 0.0 blackbar **Myripristis** soldierfish 83,3 2.9 jacobus Holocentridae 16 2.7 14.2 10 17 50.0 12 2.0 2.3 15.7 14 21

Appendix 2G, Fish census data from Sprat Hole

15.2

9.0

7.6

11.0

4.0

5

2

4

11

2

25

16

12

11

10

F-7-17, Study3

damselfish

planifrons

Pomacentridae

100.0

101

16.8

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2G (continued). Fish census data from Sprat Hole Fall '02 Census Spring '02 Census % % No. of Fish Size Size Freq Freq No. of Fish Common Avg. Min Max Total StDev Avg Name Species Name Family (n=6)Total Avg. StDev Avg Min Max (n=6)mutton 38 0. 0.0 0.0 16.7 0.2 0.4 38.0 38 Lutjanus analis Lutjanidae 0.0 snapper Lutjamus 40 2 0.3 0.8 47.0 40 55 16.7 1 0.2 0,4 40.0 40 apodus Lutianidae 16.7 schoolmaster Lutjamus mahogany 100.0 20 3.3 1.6 20.3 15 25 Lutjanidae 66.7 20 3.3 5.0 16.3 10 20 snapper mahogoni Malacanthus 0 0.0 0.0 40.0 40 40 0.0 sand tilefish plumieri Malacanthidae 16.7 1 0.2 0.4 yellow Mulloidichthys 10 14.0 127 21.2 31.2 14.3 24 goatfish martinicus Mullidae 33.3 6 1.0 2.0 12 16 50.0 spotted Gymnothorax 0.4 60.0 60 60 0.0 0.0 16.7 1 0.2 moray moringa Muraenidae 0.0 0 Acanthostracion honeycomb ploygonia 30 30 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 cowfish Ostraciidae 0.2 0.4 30.0 16.7 1 smooth Lactophrys 16.7 0.2 0.4 10.0 10 10 trunkfish triqueter Ostraciidae 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 Holacanthus 15 22 0.7 0.8 18.7 rock beauty tricolor Pomacanthidae 33,3 2 0.3 0.5 13.5 12 15 50.0 4 sergeant Ahudefduf major saxatilis Pomacentridae 16.7 2 0.3 0.8 13.0 13 13 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 2 blue chromis Chromis cyanea Pomacentridae 100.0 800 133.3 48.9 2.8 1 7 100.0 789 131.5 61.9 4.7 0 Chromis brown multilineata 701 4.5 1 7 83.3 650 108.3 70.3 4.8 2 8 chromis Pomacentridae 100.0 116.8 32.0 yellowtail Microspathodon chrysurus 16.7 2 0.3 0.8 10. 10 0.00 0.0 0.0 damselfish Pomacentridae 10.0 Stegastes dusky adustus (S. damselfish fuscus) 83.3 4.8 3.8 10.3 7 15 Pomacentridae 29 6.4 10 66.7 26 4.3 4 4.4 bicolor Stegastes damselfish 39.2 partitus Pomacentridae 100.0 235 13.6 4.1 6 100.0 397 66.2 29.6 4.5 2 10 threespot Stegastes

5.7

3

5.5

8

83.3

70

11.7

15

4

9.2

8.2

Period: 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2002

Appendix 2G (continued). Fish census data from Sprat Hole

			[100 C			- P	Fall '02 Census								
1			0.	I	Spru	ng '02 Ce	nsus		-			; 						
			%							200		* 0=			- ~+			
Common			Freq		lo. of Fi			Size		% Freq	!	vo. of Fi			Size			
Name	Species Name	Family	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max	(n=6)	Total	Avg.	StDev	Avg	Min	Max		
cocoa	Stegastes																	
damselfish	variabilis	Pomacentridae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	5.0	5	5	0.0	- 0	0.0	0.0	-	-			
striped	Scarus iserti (S.																	
parrotfish	croicensis)	Scaridae	16.7	4	0.7	1.6	5.0	4	6	83.3	26	4.3	2.7	11.6	4	20		
princess	Scarus																	
parrotfish	taeniopterus	Scaridae	100.0	46	7.7	5.0	17.2	6	25	100.0	35	5.8	4.0	18.6	5	30		
queen																		
parrotfish	Scarus vetula	Scaridae	66.7	10	1.7	1.5	26.8	15	45	33.3	2	0.3	0.5	27.5	25	30		
redband	Sparisoma																	
parrotfish	aurofrenatum	Scaridae	100.0	41	6.8	1.9	16.2	10	25	83.3	25	4.2	2.7	16.0	3	25		
stoplight	Sparisoma																	
parrotfish	viride	Scaridae	100.0	13	2.2	0.8	21.6	6	40	66.7	14	2.3	2,6	13.5	4	25		
	Cephalopholis		1000															
graysby	cruentatus	Serranidae	66.7	9	1.5	1.4	14.9	12	19	66.7	7	1.2	1.0	16.5	10	22		
	Cephalopholis																	
coney	fulvus	Serranidae	16.7	4	0.7	1.6	17.0	15	20	66.7	9	1.5	1.5	16.1	8	22		
yellowtail	Hypoplectrus			*.														
hamlet	chlorurus	Serranidae	0	0	0.0	0.0	-	_		16.7	2	0.3	0.8	9.0	8	10		
	Hypoplectrus						-											
shy hamlet	guttavarius	Serranidae	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	8.0	8	8	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	-	_		
	Hypoplectrus																	
black hamlet	nigricans	Serranidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	-	ne.	·	50.0	3	0.5	0.5	10.0	9	11		
barred	Hypoplectrus																	
hamlet	puella	Serranidae	33.3	3	0,5	8,0	13.5	10	15	16.7	1	0.2	0.4	10.0	10	10		
harlequin	Serranus]				
bass	tigrinus	Serranidae	16.7	. 1	0,2	0.4	10.0	-10	10	33,3	2	0.3	0.5	7.0	6	. 8		
	Synodus																	
sand diver	intermedius	Synodontidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		_		16.7	1	0.2	0.4	32.0	32	32		
sharpnose	Canthigaster	•													~ ~~	~~~		
puffer	rostrata	Tetraodontidae	33.3	3	0.5	0.8	4.2	3	5	50.0	6	1.0	1.3	4.5	4	6		
porcupinefish	Diodon hystrix	Tetraodontidae	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	_	_	-	16.7	2	0.3	0.8	35.0	35	35		
			Total=	1326			L			Total -	2210			1 33.0				

Total= 4326 Total = 3319